Semifields, Planar Functions and MRD Codes

Yue Zhou LOOPS 2019 Conference, Budapest, July 9, 2019

National University of Defense Technology

Planar Functions

Maximum Rank-Distance Codes

Definition

A semifield (S, +, *) is a non-associative ring whose nonzero elements form a loop under multiplication.

Definition

A semifield (S, +, *) is a non-associative ring whose nonzero elements form a loop under multiplication.

In other words,

• $(\mathbb{S}, +)$ is an abelian group;

Definition

A semifield (S, +, *) is a non-associative ring whose nonzero elements form a loop under multiplication.

In other words,

- $(\mathbb{S}, +)$ is an abelian group;
- * is both left- and right-distributive over +;

Definition

A semifield (S, +, *) is a non-associative ring whose nonzero elements form a loop under multiplication.

In other words,

- $(\mathbb{S}, +)$ is an abelian group;
- * is both left- and right-distributive over +;
- there is a multiplicative identity;

Definition

A semifield (S, +, *) is a non-associative ring whose nonzero elements form a loop under multiplication.

In other words,

- $(\mathbb{S}, +)$ is an abelian group;
- * is both left- and right-distributive over +;
- there is a multiplicative identity;
- for each a and each nonzero b ∈ S, there exist unique x and y such that b * x = a and y * b = a.

Definition

A semifield (S, +, *) is a non-associative ring whose nonzero elements form a loop under multiplication.

In other words,

- $(\mathbb{S}, +)$ is an abelian group;
- * is both left- and right-distributive over +;
- there is a multiplicative identity;
- for each a and each nonzero b ∈ S, there exist unique x and y such that b * x = a and y * b = a.

If $\mathbb S$ does not necessarily have a multiplicative identity, then it is a presemifield.

• In this talk, we only concern finite semifields.

- In this talk, we only concern finite semifields.
- |S| is a prime power, and its additive group is elementary abelian.

- In this talk, we only concern finite semifields.
- |S| is a prime power, and its additive group is elementary abelian.
- \mathbb{S} can be identified as \mathbb{F}_q^m .

- In this talk, we only concern finite semifields.
- |S| is a prime power, and its additive group is elementary abelian.
- \mathbb{S} can be identified as \mathbb{F}_q^m .
- When * is commutative, \mathbb{S} is called a commutative semifield.

- In this talk, we only concern finite semifields.
- |S| is a prime power, and its additive group is elementary abelian.
- \mathbb{S} can be identified as \mathbb{F}_q^m .
- When * is commutative, \mathbb{S} is called a commutative semifield.

Dickson's semifield $(\mathbb{F}_q^2, +, *)$ (1906) Let *q* be a power of an odd prime *p*, α a non-square in \mathbb{F}_q and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

- In this talk, we only concern finite semifields.
- |S| is a prime power, and its additive group is elementary abelian.
- \mathbb{S} can be identified as \mathbb{F}_q^m .
- When * is commutative, \mathbb{S} is called a commutative semifield.

Dickson's semifield $(\mathbb{F}_q^2, +, *)$ (1906) Let q be a power of an odd prime p, α a non-square in \mathbb{F}_q and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. (a, b) + (c, d) := (a + c, b + d), $(a, b) * (c, d) := (ac + \alpha(bd)^{\sigma}, ad + bc).$

• for $P \neq Q \in \mathcal{P}$, \exists unique $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $P, Q \in \ell$;

 ${f P}:=({\cal P},{\cal L}\subseteq 2^{\cal P})$ is a projective plane, if

- for $P \neq Q \in \mathcal{P}$, \exists unique $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $P, Q \in \ell$;
- for $\ell \neq m \in \mathcal{L}$, \exists unique $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $P \in \ell, m$;

 ${f P}:=({\cal P},{\cal L}\subseteq 2^{\cal P})$ is a projective plane, if

- for $P \neq Q \in \mathcal{P}$, \exists unique $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $P, Q \in \ell$;
- for $\ell \neq m \in \mathcal{L}$, \exists unique $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $P \in \ell, m$;
- there exists <u>quadrangle</u>, i.e. four points no three of which belong to one line.

- for $P \neq Q \in \mathcal{P}$, \exists unique $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $P, Q \in \ell$;
- for $\ell \neq m \in \mathcal{L}$, \exists unique $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $P \in \ell, m$;
- there exists <u>quadrangle</u>, i.e. four points no three of which belong to one line.

Given a semifield (S, +, *), it coordinatizes a projective plane.

- for $P \neq Q \in \mathcal{P}$, \exists unique $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $P, Q \in \ell$;
- for $\ell \neq m \in \mathcal{L}$, \exists unique $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $P \in \ell, m$;
- there exists <u>quadrangle</u>, i.e. four points no three of which belong to one line.

Given a semifield (S, +, *), it coordinatizes a projective plane. Points: $(x, y) \in S \times S$,

- for $P \neq Q \in \mathcal{P}$, \exists unique $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $P, Q \in \ell$;
- for $\ell \neq m \in \mathcal{L}$, \exists unique $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $P \in \ell, m$;
- there exists <u>quadrangle</u>, i.e. four points no three of which belong to one line.

Given a semifield (S, +, *), it coordinatizes a projective plane. Points: $(x, y) \in S \times S$,

Lines: $\ell_{a,b} = \{(x, a * x + b) : x \in \mathbb{S}\},\$

- for $P \neq Q \in \mathcal{P}$, \exists unique $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $P, Q \in \ell$;
- for $\ell \neq m \in \mathcal{L}$, \exists unique $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $P \in \ell, m$;
- there exists <u>quadrangle</u>, i.e. four points no three of which belong to one line.

Given a semifield $(\mathbb{S}, +, *)$, it coordinatizes a projective plane. Points: $(x, y) \in \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$, (a),

Lines: $\ell_{a,b} = \{(x, a * x + b) : x \in \mathbb{S}\},\$

- for $P \neq Q \in \mathcal{P}$, \exists unique $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $P, Q \in \ell$;
- for $\ell \neq m \in \mathcal{L}$, \exists unique $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $P \in \ell, m$;
- there exists <u>quadrangle</u>, i.e. four points no three of which belong to one line.

Given a semifield $(\mathbb{S}, +, *)$, it coordinatizes a projective plane. Points: $(x, y) \in \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$, (a),

Lines: $\ell_{a,b} = \{(x, a * x + b) : x \in \mathbb{S}\}, \ \ell_c = \{(c, y) : y \in \mathbb{S}\},\$

- for $P \neq Q \in \mathcal{P}$, \exists unique $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $P, Q \in \ell$;
- for $\ell \neq m \in \mathcal{L}$, \exists unique $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $P \in \ell, m$;
- there exists <u>quadrangle</u>, i.e. four points no three of which belong to one line.

Given a semifield $(\mathbb{S}, +, *)$, it coordinatizes a projective plane. Points: $(x, y) \in \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$, (a), (∞) . Lines: $\ell_{a,b} = \{(x, a * x + b) : x \in \mathbb{S}\}, \ell_c = \{(c, y) : y \in \mathbb{S}\}, \ell_c = \{(c, y) : y$

- for $P \neq Q \in \mathcal{P}$, \exists unique $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $P, Q \in \ell$;
- for $\ell \neq m \in \mathcal{L}$, \exists unique $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $P \in \ell, m$;
- there exists <u>quadrangle</u>, i.e. four points no three of which belong to one line.

Given a semifield $(\mathbb{S}, +, *)$, it coordinatizes a projective plane. Points: $(x, y) \in \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$, (a), (∞) . Lines: $\ell_{a,b} = \{(x, a * x + b) : x \in \mathbb{S}\}, \ell_c = \{(c, y) : y \in \mathbb{S}\}, \ell_{\infty} = \{(a) : a \in \mathbb{S} \cup \{\infty\}\}.$

Definition

Given two (pre)semifields $(\mathbb{F}_q^m, +, *)$ and $(\mathbb{F}_q^m, +, \star)$.

Definition

Given two (pre)semifields $(\mathbb{F}_q^m, +, *)$ and $(\mathbb{F}_q^m, +, *)$. If there exist three bijective additive mappings $L, M, N : \mathbb{F}_q^m \to \mathbb{F}_q^m$ such that

$$M(x) \star N(y) = L(x * y)$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$, then they are isotopic.

Definition

Given two (pre)semifields $(\mathbb{F}_q^m, +, *)$ and $(\mathbb{F}_q^m, +, *)$. If there exist three bijective additive mappings $L, M, N : \mathbb{F}_q^m \to \mathbb{F}_q^m$ such that

$$M(x) \star N(y) = L(x * y)$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$, then they are isotopic.

Theorem (Albert 1960)

Two (pre)semifields coordinatize isomorphic projective planes if and only if they are isotopic.

• Presemifields can be "normalized" to semifields via isotopism. Given (S, +, *) and $a \neq 0$, a * a is the identity of \circ

 $(x*a)\circ(a*y)=x*y.$

• Presemifields can be "normalized" to semifields via isotopism. Given (S, +, *) and $a \neq 0$, a * a is the identity of \circ

$$(x*a)\circ(a*y)=x*y.$$

• Left nucleus:

 $N_{l}(\mathbb{S}) = \{ a \in \mathbb{S} : (a * x) * y = a * (x * y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{S} \}.$

• Presemifields can be "normalized" to semifields via isotopism. Given (S, +, *) and $a \neq 0$, a * a is the identity of \circ

 $(x*a)\circ(a*y)=x*y.$

• Left nucleus:

 $N_{l}(\mathbb{S}) = \{ a \in \mathbb{S} : (a * x) * y = a * (x * y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{S} \}.$

Middle nucleus:

 $N_m(\mathbb{S}) = \{ a \in \mathbb{S} : (x * a) * y = x * (a * y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{S} \}.$

• Presemifields can be "normalized" to semifields via isotopism. Given (S, +, *) and $a \neq 0$, a * a is the identity of \circ

 $(x*a)\circ(a*y)=x*y.$

Left nucleus:

 $N_{l}(\mathbb{S}) = \{ a \in \mathbb{S} : (a * x) * y = a * (x * y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{S} \}.$

- Middle nucleus:
 N_m(S) = { a ∈ S : (x ∗ a) ∗ y = x ∗ (a ∗ y) for all x, y ∈ S }.
- Right nucleus:

 $N_r(\mathbb{S}) = \{ a \in \mathbb{S} : (x * y) * a = x * (y * a) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{S} \}.$

• Presemifields can be "normalized" to semifields via isotopism. Given (S, +, *) and $a \neq 0$, a * a is the identity of \circ

 $(x*a)\circ(a*y)=x*y.$

Left nucleus:

 $N_{l}(\mathbb{S}) = \{ a \in \mathbb{S} : (a * x) * y = a * (x * y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{S} \}.$

- Middle nucleus:
 N_m(S) = { a ∈ S : (x ∗ a) ∗ y = x ∗ (a ∗ y) for all x, y ∈ S }.
- Right nucleus:

 $N_r(\mathbb{S}) = \{ a \in \mathbb{S} : (x * y) * a = x * (y * a) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{S} \}.$

• All these nuclei of semifields are invariant under isotopism and they are all finite fields.

A long list of known semifields

• Albert's twisted fields, 1961

A long list of known semifields

- Albert's twisted fields, 1961
- Knuth(1965)'s semifields, containing Dickson(1906)'s semifields and Hughes-Kleinfeld(1960) semifields
- Albert's twisted fields, 1961
- Knuth(1965)'s semifields, containing Dickson(1906)'s semifields and Hughes-Kleinfeld(1960) semifields
- Ganley commutative semifields, 1981

- Albert's twisted fields, 1961
- Knuth(1965)'s semifields, containing Dickson(1906)'s semifields and Hughes-Kleinfeld(1960) semifields
- Ganley commutative semifields, 1981
- Cohen-Ganley commutative semifields, 1982

- Albert's twisted fields, 1961
- Knuth(1965)'s semifields, containing Dickson(1906)'s semifields and Hughes-Kleinfeld(1960) semifields
- Ganley commutative semifields, 1981
- Cohen-Ganley commutative semifields, 1982
- Cyclic semifields (Jha and Johnson 1989), generalizing Sandler(1962)'s semifields

- Albert's twisted fields, 1961
- Knuth(1965)'s semifields, containing Dickson(1906)'s semifields and Hughes-Kleinfeld(1960) semifields
- Ganley commutative semifields, 1981
- Cohen-Ganley commutative semifields, 1982
- Cyclic semifields (Jha and Johnson 1989), generalizing Sandler(1962)'s semifields
- Kantor(2003) commutative semifields generalizing Knuth(1965)'s binary semifields.

- Albert's twisted fields, 1961
- Knuth(1965)'s semifields, containing Dickson(1906)'s semifields and Hughes-Kleinfeld(1960) semifields
- Ganley commutative semifields, 1981
- Cohen-Ganley commutative semifields, 1982
- Cyclic semifields (Jha and Johnson 1989), generalizing Sandler(1962)'s semifields
- Kantor(2003) commutative semifields generalizing Knuth(1965)'s binary semifields.
- Bierbrauer, Budaghyan-Helleseth, Coulter-Matthews-Ding-Yuan, Lunardon-Marino-Polverino-Trombetti, Zha-Kyureghyan-Wang...

The number of pairwise non-isotopic semifields of order N is not bounded above by a polynomial in N.



The number of pairwise non-isotopic semifields of order N is not bounded above by a polynomial in N.



• For *N* even, the conjecture is true (Kantor's commutative semifields).

The number of pairwise non-isotopic semifields of order N is not bounded above by a polynomial in N.



- For *N* even, the conjecture is true (Kantor's commutative semifields).
- For N odd, the number of known semifields is less than $c\sqrt{N}\log_2(N)$.

The number of pairwise non-isotopic semifields of order N is not bounded above by a polynomial in N.



- For *N* even, the conjecture is true (Kantor's commutative semifields).
- For N odd, the number of known semifields is less than $c\sqrt{N}\log_2(N)$.
- The number of known commutative semifields of order N is bounded above by $c(\log_p N)^2$.

8/35

Let p be a prime.

Let p be a prime. For each semifield $(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$,

Let p be a prime. For each semifield $(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$, $x * y = \sum_{1 \leq i,j < n} c_{ij} x^{p^i} y^{p^j}$, for some $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.

Let p be a prime. For each semifield $(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$, $x * a = \sum_{1 \leq i,j < n} c_{ij} x^{p^i} a^{p^j}$, for some $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.

Let *p* be a prime. For each semifield $(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$, $x * a = \sum_{1 \le i, j < n} c_{ij} x^{p^i} a^{p^j}$,

for some $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.

Definition

A linearized polynomial (q-polynomial) is in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X]$ of the form

$$a_0X + a_1X^q + \cdots + a_iX^{q^i} + \cdots$$

Let $\mathscr{L}_{(n,q)}[X]$ denote all linearized polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X]$.

Let *p* be a prime. For each semifield $(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$, $x * a = \sum_{1 \le i, j < n} c_{ij} x^{p^i} a^{p^j}$,

for some $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.

Definition

A linearized polynomial (q-polynomial) is in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X]$ of the form

$$a_0X + a_1X^q + \cdots + a_iX^{q^i} + \cdots$$

Let $\mathscr{L}_{(n,q)}[X]$ denote all linearized polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X]$.

•
$$\mathscr{L}_{(n,q)}[X]/(X^{q^n}-X) \cong \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \cong \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}.$$

Let *p* be a prime. For each semifield $(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$, $x * a = \sum_{1 \le i, j < n} c_{ij} x^{p^i} a^{p^j}$,

for some $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.

Definition

A linearized polynomial (q-polynomial) is in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X]$ of the form

$$a_0X + a_1X^q + \cdots + a_iX^{q^i} + \cdots$$

Let $\mathscr{L}_{(n,q)}[X]$ denote all linearized polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X]$.

•
$$\mathscr{L}_{(n,q)}[X]/(X^{q^n}-X) \cong \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \cong \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}.$$

• $ax^{q^{i}} \circ bx^{q^{j}} = a(bx^{q^{j}})^{q^{i}} = ab^{q^{i}}x^{q^{i+j}} = ab^{q^{i}}x^{q^{i}} \circ x^{q^{j}}.$

Let *p* be a prime. For each semifield $(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$, $x * a = \sum_{1 \le i, j < n} c_{ij} x^{p^i} a^{p^j}$,

for some $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.

Definition

A linearized polynomial (q-polynomial) is in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X]$ of the form

$$a_0X + a_1X^q + \cdots + a_iX^{q^i} + \cdots$$

Let $\mathscr{L}_{(n,q)}[X]$ denote all linearized polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X]$.

•
$$\mathscr{L}_{(n,q)}[X]/(X^{q^n}-X) \cong \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \cong \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}.$$

• $ax^{q^{i}} \circ bx^{q^{j}} = a(bx^{q^{j}})^{q^{i}} = ab^{q^{i}}x^{q^{i+j}} = ab^{q^{i}}x^{q^{i}} \circ x^{q^{j}}.$

For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q)$, i.e. $x^{\sigma} = x^{q^i}$, let $R = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X; \sigma]$ be a skew polynomial ring in which $Xa = a^{\sigma}X$.

• *R* is a non-commutative integral domain.

- *R* is a non-commutative integral domain.
- *R* is both left- and right-Euclidean domain, with the usual degree function.

- *R* is a non-commutative integral domain.
- *R* is both left- and right-Euclidean domain, with the usual degree function.
- Let f be an irreducible polynomial in R, deg(f) = s,
 S = {g ∈ R : deg(g) ≤ s − 1}. Define g * h := gh mod r f(Xⁿ).

- *R* is a non-commutative integral domain.
- *R* is both left- and right-Euclidean domain, with the usual degree function.
- Let f be an irreducible polynomial in R, deg(f) = s,
 S = {g ∈ R : deg(g) ≤ s − 1}. Define g * h := gh mod r f(Xⁿ).
- $(\mathbb{S}, +, *)$ is a semifield.

- *R* is a non-commutative integral domain.
- *R* is both left- and right-Euclidean domain, with the usual degree function.
- Let f be an irreducible polynomial in R, deg(f) = s,
 S = {g ∈ R : deg(g) ≤ s − 1}. Define g * h := gh mod r f(Xⁿ).
- $(\mathbb{S}, +, *)$ is a semifield.
- $(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X; (\cdot)^q], +, \cdot) \cong (\mathscr{L}_{(n,q)}[X], +, \circ)$

- *R* is a non-commutative integral domain.
- *R* is both left- and right-Euclidean domain, with the usual degree function.
- Let f be an irreducible polynomial in R, deg(f) = s,
 S = {g ∈ R : deg(g) ≤ s − 1}. Define g * h := gh mod r f(Xⁿ).
- $(\mathbb{S}, +, *)$ is a semifield.
- $(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X; (\cdot)^q], +, \cdot) \cong (\mathscr{L}_{(n,q)}[X], +, \circ) \text{ and take } f(X) = X 1,$ $(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X; (\cdot)^q], +, \cdot)/(X^n - 1) \cong (\mathscr{L}_{(n,q)}[X], +, \circ)/(X^{q^n} - X).$

- *R* is a non-commutative integral domain.
- *R* is both left- and right-Euclidean domain, with the usual degree function.
- Let f be an irreducible polynomial in R, deg(f) = s,
 S = {g ∈ R : deg(g) ≤ s − 1}. Define g * h := gh mod r f(Xⁿ).
- $(\mathbb{S}, +, *)$ is a semifield.
- $(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X; (\cdot)^q], +, \cdot) \cong (\mathscr{L}_{(n,q)}[X], +, \circ)$ and take f(X) = X 1, $(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X; (\cdot)^q], +, \cdot)/(X^n - 1) \cong (\mathscr{L}_{(n,q)}[X], +, \circ)/(X^{q^n} - X).$
- For given N = qⁿ, there are at most √N log₂(N) cyclic semifields (Kantor,Liebler 2008).

Definition

Let $\sigma, \tau \in Aut(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $a = x^{\sigma-1}y^{\tau-1}$ has no solution.

Definition

Let $\sigma, \tau \in Aut(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $a = x^{\sigma-1}y^{\tau-1}$ has no solution. Define

$$x * y = xy - ax^{\sigma}y^{\tau}.$$

Definition

Let $\sigma, \tau \in Aut(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $a = x^{\sigma-1}y^{\tau-1}$ has no solution. Define

$$x * y = xy - ax^{\sigma}y^{\tau}.$$

Then $(\mathbb{F}_q, +, *)$ is a presemifield, called Albert's twisted field.

Definition

Let $\sigma, \tau \in Aut(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $a = x^{\sigma-1}y^{\tau-1}$ has no solution. Define

$$x * y = xy - ax^{\sigma}y^{\tau}.$$

Then $(\mathbb{F}_q, +, *)$ is a presemifield, called Albert's twisted field.

By twisting some other known semifields, people have found more new semifields.

Definition

Let $\sigma, \tau \in Aut(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $a = x^{\sigma-1}y^{\tau-1}$ has no solution. Define

$$x * y = xy - ax^{\sigma}y^{\tau}.$$

Then $(\mathbb{F}_q, +, *)$ is a presemifield, called Albert's twisted field.

By twisting some other known semifields, people have found more new semifields.

• Pott-Z. commutative semifields, 2013.

Definition

Let $\sigma, \tau \in Aut(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $a = x^{\sigma-1}y^{\tau-1}$ has no solution. Define

$$x * y = xy - ax^{\sigma}y^{\tau}.$$

Then $(\mathbb{F}_q, +, *)$ is a presemifield, called Albert's twisted field.

By twisting some other known semifields, people have found more new semifields.

- Pott-Z. commutative semifields, 2013.
- Dempwolff semifields, 2013.

Definition

Let $\sigma, \tau \in Aut(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $a = x^{\sigma-1}y^{\tau-1}$ has no solution. Define

$$x * y = xy - ax^{\sigma}y^{\tau}.$$

Then $(\mathbb{F}_q, +, *)$ is a presemifield, called Albert's twisted field.

By twisting some other known semifields, people have found more new semifields.

- Pott-Z. commutative semifields, 2013.
- Dempwolff semifields, 2013.
- Twisted cyclic semifields by Sheekey, arxiv.

• By taking $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$ and a = -1, one has $x \circ y = xy + x^{\sigma}y^{\sigma^{-1}}$.

- By taking $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$ and a = -1, one has $x \circ y = xy + x^{\sigma}y^{\sigma^{-1}}$.
- It is isotopic to $x \circ_k y := x^{\sigma}y + xy^{\sigma}$ where $\sigma = p^k (y \mapsto y^{\sigma})$.

- By taking $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$ and a = -1, one has $x \circ y = xy + x^{\sigma}y^{\sigma^{-1}}$.
- It is isotopic to $x \circ_k y := x^{\sigma}y + xy^{\sigma}$ where $\sigma = p^k (y \mapsto y^{\sigma})$.
- (a, b) * (c, d) := (ac + α(bd)^σ, ad + bc) (Dickson's semifields), where α a non-square.

- By taking $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$ and a = -1, one has $x \circ y = xy + x^{\sigma}y^{\sigma^{-1}}$.
- It is isotopic to $x \circ_k y := x^{\sigma}y + xy^{\sigma}$ where $\sigma = p^k (y \mapsto y^{\sigma})$.
- $(a, b) * (c, d) := (a \circ_k c + \alpha (b \circ_k d)^{\sigma}, ad + bc)$, where α a non-square.
- By taking $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$ and a = -1, one has $x \circ y = xy + x^{\sigma}y^{\sigma^{-1}}$.
- It is isotopic to $x \circ_k y := x^{\sigma}y + xy^{\sigma}$ where $\sigma = p^k (y \mapsto y^{\sigma})$.
- $(a, b) * (c, d) := (a \circ_k c + \alpha (b \circ_k d)^{\sigma}, ad + bc)$, where α a non-square.
- $(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, +, *)$ is a commutative presemifield (Pott, Z. 2013).

- By taking $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$ and a = -1, one has $x \circ y = xy + x^{\sigma}y^{\sigma^{-1}}$.
- It is isotopic to $x \circ_k y := x^{\sigma}y + xy^{\sigma}$ where $\sigma = p^k (y \mapsto y^{\sigma})$.
- $(a, b) * (c, d) := (a \circ_k c + \alpha (b \circ_k d)^{\sigma}, ad + bc)$, where α a non-square.
- $(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, +, *)$ is a commutative presemifield (Pott, Z. 2013).
- This construction has two parameters k and σ .

- By taking $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$ and a = -1, one has $x \circ y = xy + x^{\sigma}y^{\sigma^{-1}}$.
- It is isotopic to $x \circ_k y := x^{\sigma}y + xy^{\sigma}$ where $\sigma = p^k (y \mapsto y^{\sigma})$.
- $(a, b) * (c, d) := (a \circ_k c + \alpha (b \circ_k d)^{\sigma}, ad + bc)$, where α a non-square.
- $(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, +, *)$ is a commutative presemifield (Pott, Z. 2013).
- This construction has two parameters k and σ .
- Let q = p^m where p is a prime, m = 2^eμ with gcd(μ, 2) = 1. This construction gives exactly L^μ/₂ ∫ [^m/₂] non-isotopic presemifields.

- By taking $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$ and a = -1, one has $x \circ y = xy + x^{\sigma}y^{\sigma^{-1}}$.
- It is isotopic to $x \circ_k y := x^{\sigma}y + xy^{\sigma}$ where $\sigma = p^k (y \mapsto y^{\sigma})$.
- $(a, b) * (c, d) := (a \circ_k c + \alpha (b \circ_k d)^{\sigma}, ad + bc)$, where α a non-square.
- $(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, +, *)$ is a commutative presemifield (Pott, Z. 2013).
- This construction has two parameters k and σ .
- Let q = p^m where p is a prime, m = 2^eμ with gcd(μ, 2) = 1. This construction gives exactly L^μ/₂ ∫ [^m/₂] non-isotopic presemifields.
- It gives us the bound c(log_p q)² of known commutative semifields.

$$x \circ y = xy - ax^{\sigma}y^{\tau}$$

$$x \circ y = xy - ax^{\sigma}y^{\tau}$$

• Dempwolff's semifields

$$(u,v)*(x,y)=(ux+v\circ y,uy+\zeta(v\circ x))$$

where \circ defines a twisted field.

$$x \circ y = xy - ax^{\sigma}y^{\tau}$$

• Dempwolff's semifields

$$(u, v) * (x, y) = (ux + v \circ y, uy + \zeta(v \circ x))$$

where \circ defines a twisted field.

• Let f be an irreducible polynomial in R, $\deg(f) = s$, $\mathbb{S} = \{g = \sum g_i X^i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X; \sigma] : \deg(g) \le s - 1\}.$ Define $g * h := gh \mod {}_r f(X^n)$ for $g, h \in \mathbb{S}$. $(\mathbb{S}, +, *)$ is a cyclic semifield.

$$x \circ \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{\sigma}}$$

• Dempwolff's semifields

$$(u, v) * (x, y) = (ux + v \circ y, uy + \zeta(v \circ x))$$

where \circ defines a twisted field.

Let f be an irreducible polynomial in R, deg(f) = s,
S = {g = ∑g_iXⁱ ∈ F_{qⁿ}[X; σ] : deg(g) ≤ s − 1}.
Define g * h := gh mod _rf(Xⁿ) for g, h ∈ S. (S, +, *) is a cyclic semifield.

$$x \circ \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{\sigma}}$$

• Dempwolff's semifields

$$(u, v) * (x, y) = (ux + v \circ y, uy + \zeta(v \circ x))$$

where \circ defines a twisted field.

Let f be an irreducible polynomial in R, deg(f) = s,
S = {g = ∑g_iXⁱ ∈ F_{qⁿ}[X; σ] : deg(g) ≤ s, g_s = ηg₀^ρ}.
Define g * h := gh mod _rf(Xⁿ) for g, h ∈ S. (S, +, *) is a twisted cyclic semifield (Sheekey arxiv).

$$x \circ \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{\sigma}}$$

• Dempwolff's semifields

$$(u, v) * (x, y) = (ux + v \circ y, uy + \zeta(v \circ x))$$

where \circ defines a twisted field.

- Let f be an irreducible polynomial in R, deg(f) = s,
 S = {g = ∑g_iXⁱ ∈ F_{qⁿ}[X; σ] : deg(g) ≤ s, g_s = ηg₀^ρ}.
 Define g * h := gh mod _rf(Xⁿ) for g, h ∈ S. (S, +, *) is a twisted cyclic semifield (Sheekey arxiv).
- Hughes-Kleinfeld (1960) semifields and generalized Dickson's (1965) semifields actually belong to this new construction.

$$x \circ \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{\sigma}}$$

• Dempwolff's semifields

$$(u, v) * (x, y) = (ux + v \circ y, uy + \zeta(v \circ x))$$

where \circ defines a twisted field.

- Let f be an irreducible polynomial in R, deg(f) = s,
 S = {g = ∑g_iXⁱ ∈ F_{qⁿ}[X; σ] : deg(g) ≤ s, g_s = ηg₀^ρ}.
 Define g * h := gh mod _rf(Xⁿ) for g, h ∈ S. (S, +, *) is a twisted cyclic semifield (Sheekey arxiv).
- Hughes-Kleinfeld (1960) semifields and generalized Dickson's (1965) semifields actually belong to this new construction.
- Question: how may non-isotopic twisted cyclic semifields are there?

$$x * y := xy + \left(x \sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{Tr}_{i}(\zeta_{i}y) + y \sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{Tr}_{i}(\zeta_{i}x)\right)^{2}$$

Then $(\mathbb{F}, +, *)$ is a presemifield.

$$x * y := xy + \left(x \sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{Tr}_{i}(\zeta_{i}y) + y \sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{Tr}_{i}(\zeta_{i}x)\right)^{2}$$

Then $(\mathbb{F}, +, *)$ is a presemifield.

• When m = 1, they are Knuth's binary presemifields.

$$x * y := xy + \left(x \sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{Tr}_{i}(\zeta_{i}y) + y \sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{Tr}_{i}(\zeta_{i}x)\right)^{2}$$

Then $(\mathbb{F}, +, *)$ is a presemifield.

- When m = 1, they are Knuth's binary presemifields.
- For almost each different choice of (ζ₁, · · · , ζ_m), the presemifields are non-isotopic.

Planar Functions

• Take a commutative (pre)semifield $(\mathbb{F}_q, +, *)$, where q is odd.

- Take a commutative (pre)semifield $(\mathbb{F}_q, +, *)$, where q is odd.
- Define $f : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_q$ by f(x) := x * x.

- Take a commutative (pre)semifield $(\mathbb{F}_q, +, *)$, where q is odd.
- Define $f : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_q$ by f(x) := x * x.
- For every a ≠ 0, x → f(x + a) f(x) = 2a * x + a * a is a permutation on F_q.

- Take a commutative (pre)semifield $(\mathbb{F}_q, +, *)$, where q is odd.
- Define $f : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_q$ by f(x) := x * x.
- For every a ≠ 0, x → f(x + a) f(x) = 2a * x + a * a is a permutation on F_q.

Definition (Dembowski and Ostrom 1968)

Let $f : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_q$. If for every $a \neq 0$, f(x + a) - f(x) defines a permutation on \mathbb{F}_q , then f is a planar function.

- Take a commutative (pre)semifield $(\mathbb{F}_q, +, *)$, where q is odd.
- Define $f : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_q$ by f(x) := x * x.
- For every a ≠ 0, x → f(x + a) f(x) = 2a * x + a * a is a permutation on F_q.

Definition (Dembowski and Ostrom 1968)

Let $f : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_q$. If for every $a \neq 0$, f(x + a) - f(x) defines a permutation on \mathbb{F}_q , then f is a planar function.

• Commutative (pre)semifields $(q \text{ odd}) \Rightarrow$ Planar functions.

- Take a commutative (pre)semifield $(\mathbb{F}_q, +, *)$, where q is odd.
- Define $f : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_q$ by f(x) := x * x.
- For every a ≠ 0, x → f(x + a) f(x) = 2a * x + a * a is a permutation on F_q.

Definition (Dembowski and Ostrom 1968)

Let $f : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_q$. If for every $a \neq 0$, f(x + a) - f(x) defines a permutation on \mathbb{F}_q , then f is a planar function.

- Commutative (pre)semifields $(q \text{ odd}) \Rightarrow$ Planar functions.
- Planar functions from commutative semifields can always be written as a Dembowski-Ostrom (DO) polynomial ∑ a_{ij}x^{pⁱ+pⁱ}.

- Take a commutative (pre)semifield $(\mathbb{F}_q, +, *)$, where q is odd.
- Define $f : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_q$ by f(x) := x * x.
- For every a ≠ 0, x → f(x + a) f(x) = 2a * x + a * a is a permutation on F_q.

Definition (Dembowski and Ostrom 1968)

Let $f : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_q$. If for every $a \neq 0$, f(x + a) - f(x) defines a permutation on \mathbb{F}_q , then f is a planar function.

- Commutative (pre)semifields $(q \text{ odd}) \Rightarrow$ Planar functions.
- Planar functions from commutative semifields can always be written as a Dembowski-Ostrom (DO) polynomial ∑ a_{ij}x^{pⁱ+pⁱ}.
- $x \circ_k y := x^{\sigma} y + x y^{\sigma}$ with $\sigma = p^k$, $f(x) = 2x^{p^k + 1}$. 15/35

• Planar functions ⇒ commutative (pre)semifields?

 Planar functions ⇒ commutative (pre)semifields? (Conjectured by Dembowksi and Ostrom 1968)

- Planar functions ⇒ commutative (pre)semifields? (Conjectured by Dembowksi and Ostrom 1968)
- Counter-example: (1997) Coulter-Matthews monomials x^{3^k+1}/₂ over 𝔽_{3ⁿ}.

- Planar functions ⇒ commutative (pre)semifields? (Conjectured by Dembowksi and Ostrom 1968)
- Counter-example: (1997) Coulter-Matthews monomials x^{3^k+1}/₂ over 𝔽_{3ⁿ}.
- Other examples? p > 3?

- Planar functions ⇒ commutative (pre)semifields? (Conjectured by Dembowksi and Ostrom 1968)
- Counter-example: (1997) Coulter-Matthews monomials x^{3^k+1}/₂ over 𝔽_{3ⁿ}.
- Other examples? *p* > 3?
- By a planar function f over 𝔽_q, one can always define an affine plane Π_f using D = {(x, f(x)) : x ∈ 𝔽_q}.

- Planar functions ⇒ commutative (pre)semifields? (Conjectured by Dembowksi and Ostrom 1968)
- Counter-example: (1997) Coulter-Matthews monomials x^{3^k+1}/₂ over 𝔽_{3ⁿ}.
- Other examples? *p* > 3?
- By a planar function f over F_q, one can always define an affine plane Π_f using D = {(x, f(x)) : x ∈ F_q}.
 Points: (x, y) ∈ F_q × F_q

- Planar functions ⇒ commutative (pre)semifields? (Conjectured by Dembowksi and Ostrom 1968)
- Counter-example: (1997) Coulter-Matthews monomials x^{3^k+1}/₂ over 𝔽_{3ⁿ}.
- Other examples? *p* > 3?
- By a planar function f over F_q, one can always define an affine plane Π_f using D = {(x, f(x)) : x ∈ F_q}.
 Points: (x, y) ∈ F_q × F_q
 Lines: ℓ_{a,b} = D + (a, b) = {(x + a, f(x) + b) : x ∈ F_q}, ℓ_a = {(a, y) : y ∈ F_q}.

• When f is a DO+affine polynomial, i.e. $f(x) = \sum a_{ij} x^{p^i + p^j} + \sum c_i x^{p^i}, \ \Pi_f \text{ is a semifield plane.}$

- When f is a DO+affine polynomial, i.e. $f(x) = \sum a_{ij} x^{p^i + p^j} + \sum c_i x^{p^i}, \Pi_f \text{ is a semifield plane.}$
- Coulter-Matthews planar functions define a very special plane (Lenz-Barlotti II.1).

- When f is a DO+affine polynomial, i.e. $f(x) = \sum a_{ij} x^{p^i + p^j} + \sum c_i x^{p^i}, \ \Pi_f \text{ is a semifield plane.}$
- Coulter-Matthews planar functions define a very special plane (Lenz-Barlotti II.1).
- In general, Π_f is a semifield plane $\Rightarrow f$ is DO+affine?

- When f is a DO+affine polynomial, i.e. $f(x) = \sum a_{ij} x^{p^i + p^j} + \sum c_i x^{p^i}, \Pi_f \text{ is a semifield plane.}$
- Coulter-Matthews planar functions define a very special plane (Lenz-Barlotti II.1).
- In general, Π_f is a semifield plane $\Rightarrow f$ is DO+affine?
- Dembowski and Ostrom gave a criterion (1968).

- When f is a DO+affine polynomial, i.e. $f(x) = \sum a_{ij}x^{p^i+p^j} + \sum c_i x^{p^i}, \ \Pi_f \text{ is a semifield plane.}$
- Coulter-Matthews planar functions define a very special plane (Lenz-Barlotti II.1).
- In general, Π_f is a semifield plane $\Rightarrow f$ is DO+affine?
- Dembowski and Ostrom gave a criterion (1968).
- Dempwolff and Röder investigated the case in which *f* is a monomial (2006).

- When f is a DO+affine polynomial, i.e. $f(x) = \sum a_{ij}x^{p^i+p^j} + \sum c_i x^{p^i}, \ \Pi_f \text{ is a semifield plane.}$
- Coulter-Matthews planar functions define a very special plane (Lenz-Barlotti II.1).
- In general, Π_f is a semifield plane $\Rightarrow f$ is DO+affine?
- Dembowski and Ostrom gave a criterion (1968).
- Dempwolff and Röder investigated the case in which *f* is a monomial (2006).
- Partially answered by Coulter and Henderson (2008).
Planar functions (q odd)

- When f is a DO+affine polynomial, i.e. $f(x) = \sum a_{ij}x^{p^i+p^j} + \sum c_i x^{p^i}, \ \Pi_f \text{ is a semifield plane.}$
- Coulter-Matthews planar functions define a very special plane (Lenz-Barlotti II.1).
- In general, Π_f is a semifield plane $\Rightarrow f$ is DO+affine?
- Dembowski and Ostrom gave a criterion (1968).
- Dempwolff and Röder investigated the case in which *f* is a monomial (2006).
- Partially answered by Coulter and Henderson (2008).

Theorem (Z., 2018)

The plane Π_f is a commutative semifield plane if and only if f is a DO+affine polynomial.

Recall that f is planar on 𝔽_q if x → f(x + a) - f(x) is a permutation for every a ≠ 0.

- Recall that f is planar on 𝔽_q if x → f(x + a) f(x) is a permutation for every a ≠ 0.
- q even

- Recall that f is planar on 𝔽_q if x → f(x + a) f(x) is a permutation for every a ≠ 0.
- q even, a b = a + b

- Recall that f is planar on 𝔽_q if x → f(x + a) f(x) is a permutation for every a ≠ 0.
- q even, a b = a + b
- If $f(x_0 + a) + f(x_0) = b$,

- Recall that f is planar on 𝔽_q if x → f(x + a) f(x) is a permutation for every a ≠ 0.
- q even, a b = a + b
- If $f(x_0 + a) + f(x_0) = b$, then $f((x_0 + a) + a) + f(x_0 + a) = b$.

- Recall that f is planar on \mathbb{F}_q if $x \mapsto f(x+a) f(x)$ is a permutation for every $a \neq 0$.
- q even, a b = a + b
- If $f(x_0 + a) + f(x_0) = b$, then $f((x_0 + a) + a) + f(x_0 + a) = b$.
- x_0 and $x_0 + a$ are both mapped to b.

- Recall that f is planar on 𝔽_q if x → f(x + a) f(x) is a permutation for every a ≠ 0.
- q even, a b = a + b
- If $f(x_0 + a) + f(x_0) = b$, then $f((x_0 + a) + a) + f(x_0 + a) = b$.
- x_0 and $x_0 + a$ are both mapped to *b*. Not a permutation.

- Recall that f is planar on \mathbb{F}_q if $x \mapsto f(x+a) f(x)$ is a permutation for every $a \neq 0$.
- q even, a b = a + b
- If $f(x_0 + a) + f(x_0) = b$, then $f((x_0 + a) + a) + f(x_0 + a) = b$.
- x_0 and $x_0 + a$ are both mapped to b. Not a permutation.
- Hence the previous definition of planar functions does not work for even *q*.

- Recall that f is planar on 𝔽_q if x → f(x + a) f(x) is a permutation for every a ≠ 0.
- q even, a b = a + b
- If $f(x_0 + a) + f(x_0) = b$, then $f((x_0 + a) + a) + f(x_0 + a) = b$.
- x_0 and $x_0 + a$ are both mapped to b. Not a permutation.
- Hence the previous definition of planar functions does not work for even *q*.
- However, for every semifield S of order q, there exits a group (G, ·) of order q² and D ⊆ G of size q defining a plane Π_D:

- Recall that f is planar on F_q if x → f(x + a) f(x) is a permutation for every a ≠ 0.
- q even, a b = a + b
- If $f(x_0 + a) + f(x_0) = b$, then $f((x_0 + a) + a) + f(x_0 + a) = b$.
- x_0 and $x_0 + a$ are both mapped to b. Not a permutation.
- Hence the previous definition of planar functions does not work for even *q*.
- However, for every semifield S of order q, there exits a group (G, ·) of order q² and D ⊆ G of size q defining a plane Π_D:
 Points: g ∈ G

- Recall that f is planar on \mathbb{F}_q if $x \mapsto f(x+a) f(x)$ is a permutation for every $a \neq 0$.
- q even, a b = a + b
- If $f(x_0 + a) + f(x_0) = b$, then $f((x_0 + a) + a) + f(x_0 + a) = b$.
- x_0 and $x_0 + a$ are both mapped to b. Not a permutation.
- Hence the previous definition of planar functions does not work for even *q*.
- However, for every semifield S of order q, there exits a group (G, ·) of order q² and D ⊆ G of size q defining a plane Π_D:
 Points: g ∈ G

Lines: $\ell_a = aD$ for $a \in G$ and the cosets of $H \trianglelefteq G$ which is of size q.

For instance,

• when \mathbb{S} is commutative and q is odd,

For instance,

• when \mathbb{S} is commutative and q is odd, $G = (\mathbb{F}_q^2, +)$,

For instance,

• when \mathbb{S} is commutative and q is odd, $G = (\mathbb{F}_q^2, +)$, $D = \{(x, x * x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ and $H = \{(0, y) : y \in \mathbb{F}_q\};$

- when S is commutative and q is odd, $G = (\mathbb{F}_q^2, +)$, $D = \{(x, x * x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ and $H = \{(0, y) : y \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$;
- when S is commutative and $q = 2^m$,

- when S is commutative and q is odd, $G = (\mathbb{F}_q^2, +)$, $D = \{(x, x * x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ and $H = \{(0, y) : y \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$;
- when S is commutative and $q = 2^m$, $G = C_4^m$,

- when S is commutative and q is odd, $G = (\mathbb{F}_q^2, +)$, $D = \{(x, x * x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ and $H = \{(0, y) : y \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$;
- when S is commutative and $q = 2^m$, $G = C_4^m$, $H = 2C_4^m \cong \mathbb{F}_2^m$

- when S is commutative and q is odd, $G = (\mathbb{F}_q^2, +)$, $D = \{(x, x * x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ and $H = \{(0, y) : y \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$;
- when S is commutative and $q = 2^m$, $G = C_4^m$, $H = 2C_4^m \cong \mathbb{F}_2^m$ and D = ?

For instance,

- when S is commutative and q is odd, $G = (\mathbb{F}_q^2, +)$, $D = \{(x, x * x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ and $H = \{(0, y) : y \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$;
- when S is commutative and $q = 2^m$, $G = C_4^m$, $H = 2C_4^m \cong \mathbb{F}_2^m$ and D = ?

Definition

A function $f : \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ is (modified) planar if $x \mapsto f(x + a) + f(x) + xa$ is a permutation of \mathbb{F}_{2^m} for each $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$.

For instance,

- when S is commutative and q is odd, $G = (\mathbb{F}_q^2, +)$, $D = \{(x, x * x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ and $H = \{(0, y) : y \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$;
- when S is commutative and $q = 2^m$, $G = C_4^m$, $H = 2C_4^m \cong \mathbb{F}_2^m$ and D = ?

Definition

A function $f : \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ is (modified) planar if $x \mapsto f(x + a) + f(x) + xa$ is a permutation of \mathbb{F}_{2^m} for each $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$.

• Represent C_4^m as $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} imes \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ with the group operation

$$(x, y) \star (x', y') = (x + x', y + y' + x \cdot x').$$

For instance,

- when S is commutative and q is odd, $G = (\mathbb{F}_q^2, +)$, $D = \{(x, x * x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ and $H = \{(0, y) : y \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$;
- when S is commutative and $q = 2^m$, $G = C_4^m$, $H = 2C_4^m \cong \mathbb{F}_2^m$ and $D = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}\}$

Definition

A function $f : \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ is (modified) planar if $x \mapsto f(x + a) + f(x) + xa$ is a permutation of \mathbb{F}_{2^m} for each $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$.

• Represent C_4^m as $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} imes \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ with the group operation

$$(x, y) \star (x', y') = (x + x', y + y' + x \cdot x').$$

• As there are exponentially many commutative semifields of even order *q* (Kantor 2003),

 As there are exponentially many commutative semifields of even order q (Kantor 2003), there are many planar functions over F_q.

- As there are exponentially many commutative semifields of even order q (Kantor 2003), there are many planar functions over F_q.
- Only one family is known.

- As there are exponentially many commutative semifields of even order q (Kantor 2003), there are many planar functions over F_q.
- Only one family is known.
- No example of order 2^{2^k} .

- As there are exponentially many commutative semifields of even order q (Kantor 2003), there are many planar functions over F_q.
- Only one family is known.
- No example of order 2^{2^k} . For instance $q = 2^8$.

- As there are exponentially many commutative semifields of even order q (Kantor 2003), there are many planar functions over F_q.
- Only one family is known.
- No example of order 2^{2^k} . For instance $q = 2^8$.
- Question: Are there any non-DO planar polynomials, like the Coulter-Matthews planar functions for *q* odd?

- As there are exponentially many commutative semifields of even order q (Kantor 2003), there are many planar functions over F_q.
- Only one family is known.
- No example of order 2^{2^k} . For instance $q = 2^8$.
- Question: Are there any non-DO planar polynomials, like the Coulter-Matthews planar functions for *q* odd?
- Related to a long standing open problem in coding theory.

- As there are exponentially many commutative semifields of even order q (Kantor 2003), there are many planar functions over F_q.
- Only one family is known.
- No example of order 2^{2^k} . For instance $q = 2^8$.
- Question: Are there any non-DO planar polynomials, like the Coulter-Matthews planar functions for *q* odd?
- Related to a long standing open problem in coding theory.
- The plane Π_f is a commutative semifield plane if and only if f is a DO+affine polynomial (Z., 2013).

- As there are exponentially many commutative semifields of even order q (Kantor 2003), there are many planar functions over F_q.
- Only one family is known.
- No example of order 2^{2^k} . For instance $q = 2^8$.
- Question: Are there any non-DO planar polynomials, like the Coulter-Matthews planar functions for *q* odd?
- Related to a long standing open problem in coding theory.
- The plane Π_f is a commutative semifield plane if and only if f is a DO+affine polynomial (Z., 2013).
- Using algebraic geometry, one can get classification results of them (Schmidt, Z. 2013, Müller, Zieve 2015, Bartoli, Schmidt 2019).

Maximum Rank-Distance Codes

Let $(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$ be a semifield. Define $L_a : x \mapsto x * a$.

Let $(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$ be a semifield. Define $L_a : x \mapsto x * a$.

• L_a is \mathbb{F}_p -linear, can be viewed as a matrix in $\mathbb{F}_p^{n \times n}$

Let $(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$ be a semifield. Define $L_a : x \mapsto x * a$.

- L_a is \mathbb{F}_p -linear, can be viewed as a matrix in $\mathbb{F}_p^{n \times n}$
- $L_a L_b$ is invertible for $a \neq b$;

Let $(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$ be a semifield. Define $L_a : x \mapsto x * a$.

- L_a is \mathbb{F}_p -linear, can be viewed as a matrix in $\mathbb{F}_p^{n \times n}$
- $L_a L_b$ is invertible for $a \neq b$;
- $\mathcal{C} = \{L_a : a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}\}$ has p^n elements.
Let $(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$ be a semifield. Define $L_a : x \mapsto x * a$.

• L_a is \mathbb{F}_p -linear, can be viewed as a matrix in $\mathbb{F}_p^{n \times n}$

•
$$L_a - L_b$$
 is invertible for $a \neq b$;

• $\mathcal{C} = \{L_a : a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}\}$ has p^n elements.

Definition

Given $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$, if

Let $(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$ be a semifield. Define $L_a : x \mapsto x * a$.

• L_a is \mathbb{F}_p -linear, can be viewed as a matrix in $\mathbb{F}_p^{n \times n}$

•
$$L_a - L_b$$
 is invertible for $a \neq b$;

• $\mathcal{C} = \{L_a : a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}\}$ has p^n elements.

Definition

Given $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$, if

•
$$\#\mathcal{C}=q^{nk}$$
,

Let $(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$ be a semifield. Define $L_a : x \mapsto x * a$.

• L_a is \mathbb{F}_p -linear, can be viewed as a matrix in $\mathbb{F}_p^{n \times n}$

•
$$L_a - L_b$$
 is invertible for $a \neq b$;

• $\mathcal{C} = \{L_a : a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}\}$ has p^n elements.

Definition

Given $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$, if

•
$$\#\mathcal{C}=q^{nk}$$
,

• for each distinct $M, N \in C$, $rank(M - N) \ge n - k + 1$,

Let $(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$ be a semifield. Define $L_a : x \mapsto x * a$.

• L_a is \mathbb{F}_p -linear, can be viewed as a matrix in $\mathbb{F}_p^{n \times n}$

•
$$L_a - L_b$$
 is invertible for $a \neq b$;

• $\mathcal{C} = \{L_a : a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}\}$ has p^n elements.

Definition

Given $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{n imes n}$, if

- $#\mathcal{C} = q^{nk}$,
- for each distinct $M, N \in C$, $rank(M N) \ge n k + 1$,

then C is a maximum rank-distance (MRD, for short) codes in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$.

Let $(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$ be a semifield. Define $L_a : x \mapsto x * a$.

• L_a is \mathbb{F}_p -linear, can be viewed as a matrix in $\mathbb{F}_p^{n \times n}$

•
$$L_a - L_b$$
 is invertible for $a \neq b$;

• $\mathcal{C} = \{L_a : a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}\}$ has p^n elements.

Definition

Given $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{n imes n}$, if

- $#\mathcal{C} = q^{nk}$,
- for each distinct $M, N \in C$, $rank(M N) \ge n k + 1$,

then C is a maximum rank-distance (MRD, for short) codes in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$. Its minimum distance d = n - k + 1.

Let $(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$ be a semifield. Define $L_a : x \mapsto x * a$.

- L_a is \mathbb{F}_p -linear, can be viewed as a matrix in $\mathbb{F}_p^{n \times n}$
- $L_a L_b$ is invertible for $a \neq b$;
- C = {L_a : a ∈ ℝ_{pⁿ}} has pⁿ elements. C is an MRD code of minimum distance n in ℝ^{n×n}_p,

Definition

Given $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$, if

- $\#\mathcal{C} = q^{nk}$,
- for each distinct $M, N \in C$, $rank(M N) \ge n k + 1$,

then C is a maximum rank-distance (MRD, for short) codes in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$. Its minimum distance d = n - k + 1.

Let $(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$ be a semifield. Define $L_a : x \mapsto x * a$.

- L_a is \mathbb{F}_p -linear, can be viewed as a matrix in $\mathbb{F}_p^{n \times n}$
- $L_a L_b$ is invertible for $a \neq b$;
- C = {L_a : a ∈ ℝ_{pⁿ}} has pⁿ elements. C is an MRD code of minimum distance n in ℝ^{n×n}_p, and L_a + L_b = L_{a+b}.

Definition

Given $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{n imes n}$, if

- $\#\mathcal{C} = q^{nk}$,
- for each distinct $M, N \in C$, $rank(M N) \ge n k + 1$,

then C is a maximum rank-distance (MRD, for short) codes in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$. Its minimum distance d = n - k + 1.

Definition

Given C_1 and $C_2 \subseteq \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$, they are equivalent

Definition

Given C_1 and $C_2 \subseteq \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$, they are equivalent if there are $A \in GL(m, \mathbb{K})$, $B \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, $C \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$ and $\gamma \in Aut(\mathbb{K})$ such that

Definition

Given C_1 and $C_2 \subseteq \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$, they are equivalent if there are $A \in GL(m, \mathbb{K})$, $B \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, $C \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$ and $\gamma \in Aut(\mathbb{K})$ such that

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \{AX^{\gamma}B + C : X \in \mathcal{C}_1\},\$$

where $X^{\gamma} := (x_{ij}^{\gamma})$.

Definition

Given C_1 and $C_2 \subseteq \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$, they are equivalent if there are $A \in GL(m, \mathbb{K})$, $B \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, $C \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$ and $\gamma \in Aut(\mathbb{K})$ such that

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \{AX^{\gamma}B + C : X \in \mathcal{C}_1\},\$$

where $X^{\gamma} := (x_{ij}^{\gamma})$.

• (A, B, C, γ) is an isometry over $\mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$.

Definition

Given C_1 and $C_2 \subseteq \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$, they are equivalent if there are $A \in GL(m, \mathbb{K})$, $B \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, $C \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$ and $\gamma \in Aut(\mathbb{K})$ such that

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \{AX^{\gamma}B + C : X \in \mathcal{C}_1\},\$$

where $X^{\gamma} := (x_{ij}^{\gamma})$.

- (A, B, C, γ) is an isometry over $\mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$.
- When m = n, every isometry is

 $AX^{\gamma}B + C$ or $A(X^{\gamma})^{T}B + C$.

Definition

Given C_1 and $C_2 \subseteq \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$, they are equivalent if there are $A \in GL(m, \mathbb{K})$, $B \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, $C \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$ and $\gamma \in Aut(\mathbb{K})$ such that

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \{AX^{\gamma}B + C : X \in \mathcal{C}_1\},\$$

where $X^{\gamma} := (x_{ij}^{\gamma})$.

- (A, B, C, γ) is an isometry over $\mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$.
- When m = n, every isometry is

 $AX^{\gamma}B + C$ or $A(X^{\gamma})^{T}B + C$.

• If C_1 and C_2 are linear over \mathbb{K} , then we can assume that C = O.

A semifield is just a linear MRD code of minimum distance n in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n \times n}$, where \mathbb{F}_{q} is one of its nucleus.

A semifield is just a linear MRD code of minimum distance n in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n \times n}$, where \mathbb{F}_{q} is one of its nucleus.

How to construct MRD codes of minimum distance d < n?

A semifield is just a linear MRD code of minimum distance n in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n \times n}$, where \mathbb{F}_{q} is one of its nucleus.

How to construct MRD codes of minimum distance d < n?

• Gabidulin codes (k = n - d + 1) (Delsarte 1978), (Gabidulin 1985)

$$\mathcal{G}_k = \{a_0 X + a_1 X^q + \ldots a_{k-1} X^{q^{k-1}} : a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}.$$

A semifield is just a linear MRD code of minimum distance n in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$, where \mathbb{F}_q is one of its nucleus.

How to construct MRD codes of minimum distance d < n?

• Gabidulin codes (k = n - d + 1) (Delsarte 1978), (Gabidulin 1985)

$$\mathcal{G}_k = \{a_0 X + a_1 X^q + \dots a_{k-1} X^{q^{k-1}} : a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}.$$

▷ For each $f \in G$, f has at most q^{k-1} roots.

A semifield is just a linear MRD code of minimum distance n in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$, where \mathbb{F}_q is one of its nucleus.

How to construct MRD codes of minimum distance d < n?

• Gabidulin codes (k = n - d + 1) (Delsarte 1978), (Gabidulin 1985)

$$\mathcal{G}_k = \{a_0X + a_1X^q + \dots a_{k-1}X^{q^{k-1}} : a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}.$$

▷ For each $f \in G$, f has at most q^{k-1} roots.

▷ $\#G = q^{nk} = q^{n(n-d+1)}$ with d = n - k + 1.

A semifield is just a linear MRD code of minimum distance n in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$, where \mathbb{F}_q is one of its nucleus.

How to construct MRD codes of minimum distance d < n?

• Gabidulin codes (k = n - d + 1) (Delsarte 1978), (Gabidulin 1985)

$$\mathcal{G}_k = \{a_0 X + a_1 X^q + \dots a_{k-1} X^{q^{k-1}} : a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}.$$

▷ For each $f \in G$, f has at most q^{k-1} roots.

▷ $\#G = q^{nk} = q^{n(n-d+1)}$ with d = n - k + 1.

• \mathcal{G}_k is MRD.

A semifield is just a linear MRD code of minimum distance n in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$, where \mathbb{F}_q is one of its nucleus.

How to construct MRD codes of minimum distance d < n?

• Gabidulin codes (k = n - d + 1) (Delsarte 1978), (Gabidulin 1985)

$$\mathcal{G}_k = \{a_0 X + a_1 X^q + \dots a_{k-1} X^{q^{k-1}} : a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}.$$

▷ For each $f \in G$, f has at most q^{k-1} roots.

▷ $\#G = q^{nk} = q^{n(n-d+1)}$ with d = n - k + 1.

- \mathcal{G}_k is MRD.
- One may take $\sigma = q^t$ with gcd(t, n) = 1 and replace q by σ .

A semifield is just a linear MRD code of minimum distance n in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$, where \mathbb{F}_q is one of its nucleus.

How to construct MRD codes of minimum distance d < n?

• Gabidulin codes (k = n - d + 1) (Delsarte 1978), (Gabidulin 1985)

$$\mathcal{G}_k = \{a_0X + a_1X^{\sigma} + \ldots a_{k-1}X^{\sigma^{k-1}} : a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}.$$

 \triangleright For each $f \in \mathcal{G}$, f has at most q^{k-1} roots.

$$\triangleright \ \#\mathcal{G} = q^{nk} = q^{n(n-d+1)}$$
 with $d = n-k+1$.

- \mathcal{G}_k is MRD.
- One may take $\sigma = q^t$ with gcd(t, n) = 1 and replace q by σ .

A semifield is just a linear MRD code of minimum distance n in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$, where \mathbb{F}_q is one of its nucleus.

How to construct MRD codes of minimum distance d < n?

• Gabidulin codes (k = n - d + 1) (Delsarte 1978), (Gabidulin 1985)

$$\mathcal{G}_k = \{a_0X + a_1X^{\sigma} + \ldots a_{k-1}X^{\sigma^{k-1}} : a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}.$$

 \triangleright For each $f \in \mathcal{G}$, f has at most q^{k-1} roots.

$$\triangleright \ \#\mathcal{G} = q^{nk} = q^{n(n-d+1)}$$
 with $d = n-k+1$.

- \mathcal{G}_k is MRD.
- One may take $\sigma = q^t$ with gcd(t, n) = 1 and replace q by σ .
- More constructions?

• Twisting

- Twisting
- Scattered linear sets on a line (k = 2)

- Twisting
- Scattered linear sets on a line (k = 2)
- Moore matrices

- Twisting
- Scattered linear sets on a line (k = 2)
- Moore matrices

Besides, there are also two special nonlinear constructions of MRD codes.

- Twisting
- Scattered linear sets on a line (k = 2)
- Moore matrices

Besides, there are also two special nonlinear constructions of MRD codes.

• Durante and Siciliano 2017 generalizing Cossidente, Marino and Pavese 2016.

- Twisting
- Scattered linear sets on a line (k = 2)
- Moore matrices

Besides, there are also two special nonlinear constructions of MRD codes.

- Durante and Siciliano 2017 generalizing Cossidente, Marino and Pavese 2016.
- Otal and Özbudak 2018 (analogue of nearfields).

Let $\sigma = q^t$ where gcd(t, n) = 1.

Let $\sigma = q^t$ where gcd(t, n) = 1.

Twisted Delsarte-Gabidulin codes (Sheekey, 2016)

Let $\sigma = q^t$ where gcd(t, n) = 1.

Twisted Delsarte-Gabidulin codes (Sheekey, 2016)

$$\{a_0X + a_1X^{\sigma} + \dots a_{k-1}X^{\sigma^{k-1}} + \eta a_0^{q^h}X^{\sigma^k} : a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} \pmod{X^{q^n} - X}.$$

Let $\sigma = q^t$ where gcd(t, n) = 1.

Twisted Delsarte-Gabidulin codes (Sheekey, 2016)

$$\{a_0X + a_1X^{\sigma} + \dots a_{k-1}X^{\sigma^{k-1}} + \eta a_0^{q^h}X^{\sigma^k} : a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} \pmod{X^{q^n} - X}.$$

Note that if $\eta = 0$, it is a Delsarte-Gabidulin code.

Let $\sigma = q^t$ where gcd(t, n) = 1.

Twisted Delsarte-Gabidulin codes (Sheekey, 2016)

$$\{a_0X + a_1X^{\sigma} + \dots a_{k-1}X^{\sigma^{k-1}} + \eta a_0^{q^h}X^{\sigma^k} : a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} \pmod{X^{q^n} - X}.$$

Note that if $\eta = 0$, it is a Delsarte-Gabidulin code.

For even *n* (Trombetti and Z., 2019)

Let $\sigma = q^t$ where gcd(t, n) = 1.

Twisted Delsarte-Gabidulin codes (Sheekey, 2016)

$$\{a_0X + a_1X^{\sigma} + \dots a_{k-1}X^{\sigma^{k-1}} + \eta a_0^{q^h}X^{\sigma^k} : a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} \pmod{X^{q^n} - X}.$$

Note that if $\eta = 0$, it is a Delsarte-Gabidulin code.

For even *n* (Trombetti and Z., 2019)

 $\{aX+a_1X^{\sigma}+\ldots a_{k-1}X^{\sigma^{k-1}}+\eta bX^{\sigma^k}:a_i\in \mathbb{F}_{q^n},a,b\in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n/2}}^*\}\pmod{X^{q^n}-X}.$

Let $\sigma = q^t$ where gcd(t, n) = 1.

Twisted Delsarte-Gabidulin codes (Sheekey, 2016)

$$\{a_0X + a_1X^{\sigma} + \dots a_{k-1}X^{\sigma^{k-1}} + \eta a_0^{q^h}X^{\sigma^k} : a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} \pmod{X^{q^n} - X}.$$

Note that if $\eta = 0$, it is a Delsarte-Gabidulin code.

For even *n* (Trombetti and Z., 2019)

 $\{aX+a_1X^{\sigma}+\ldots a_{k-1}X^{\sigma^{k-1}}+\eta bX^{\sigma^k}:a_i\in\mathbb{F}_{q^n},a,b\in\mathbb{F}_{q^{n/2}}^*\}\pmod{X^{q^n}-X}.$

(Twisted) cyclic construction (Sheekey, arixv)

Let $\sigma = q^t$ where gcd(t, n) = 1.

Twisted Delsarte-Gabidulin codes (Sheekey, 2016)

$$\{a_0X + a_1X^{\sigma} + \dots a_{k-1}X^{\sigma^{k-1}} + \eta a_0^{q^h}X^{\sigma^k} : a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} \pmod{X^{q^n} - X}.$$

Note that if $\eta = 0$, it is a Delsarte-Gabidulin code.

For even *n* (Trombetti and Z., 2019) $\{aX+a_1X^{\sigma}+\ldots a_{k-1}X^{\sigma^{k-1}}+\eta bX^{\sigma^k}: a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}, a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n/2}}^*\} \pmod{X^{q^n}-X}.$

(Twisted) cyclic construction (Sheekey, arixv)

 $\{\cdots\} \mod r f(X^n) \quad (\text{in } \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X;\sigma]),$

where *f* is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X; \sigma]$.
\mathbb{F}_q -linear MRD codes of d = n - 1 (i.e. k = 2) in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$ has to be in the form

$$\mathcal{F} = \{aX + bf(X) : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}.$$

 \mathbb{F}_q -linear MRD codes of d = n - 1 (i.e. k = 2) in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$ has to be in the form

$$\mathcal{F} = \{aX + bf(X) : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}.$$

$$\{a_0 X + a_1 X^{q^s} + \eta a_0 X^{q^{2s}} : a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} = \{a X + \eta' b X^{q^s} + b X^{q^{(n-1)s}} : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}$$

$$(\text{apply } (\cdot)^{q^{(n-1)s}} \text{ and } \mod X^{q^n} - X)$$

 \mathbb{F}_q -linear MRD codes of d = n - 1 (i.e. k = 2) in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$ has to be in the form

$$\mathcal{F} = \{aX + bf(X) : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}.$$

$$\{a_0 X + a_1 X^{q^s} + \eta a_0 X^{q^{2s}} : a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} = \{a X + \eta' b X^{q^s} + b X^{q^{(n-1)s}} : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}$$
(apply (·)^{q(n-1)s} and mod X^{qⁿ} - X)

• ${\mathcal F}$ is MRD if and only if

$$\frac{f(x)}{x} = \frac{f(y)}{y} \Leftrightarrow \frac{y}{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

 \mathbb{F}_q -linear MRD codes of d = n - 1 (i.e. k = 2) in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times n}$ has to be in the form

$$\mathcal{F} = \{aX + bf(X) : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}.$$

 $\{a_0 X + a_1 X^{q^s} + \eta a_0 X^{q^{2s}} : a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} = \{a X + \eta' b X^{q^s} + b X^{q^{(n-1)s}} : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\}$ (apply (·)^{q(n-1)s} and mod X^{qⁿ} - X)

• ${\mathcal F}$ is MRD if and only if

$$\frac{f(x)}{x} = \frac{f(y)}{y} \Leftrightarrow \frac{y}{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

• A polynomial *f* satisfying the condition is called a scattered polynomial.

 In finite geometries, a maximum scattered linear set over PG(1, qⁿ):

$$U = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2,$$
$$L(U) = \{\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}} : \mathbf{u} \in U \setminus \{0\}\} = \left\{\left(1, \frac{f(x)}{x}\right) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*\right\},$$
$$\#L(U) = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}.$$

 In finite geometries, a maximum scattered linear set over PG(1, qⁿ):

$$U = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2,$$
$$L(U) = \{\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}} : \mathbf{u} \in U \setminus \{0\}\} = \left\{ \left(1, \frac{f(x)}{x}\right) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^* \right\},$$
$$\#L(U) = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}.$$

• Hence it is equivalent to

$$\frac{f(x)}{x} = \frac{f(y)}{y} \Leftrightarrow \frac{y}{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

 In finite geometries, a maximum scattered linear set over PG(1, qⁿ):

$$U = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2,$$
$$L(U) = \{\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}} : \mathbf{u} \in U \setminus \{0\}\} = \left\{ \left(1, \frac{f(x)}{x}\right) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^* \right\},$$
$$\#L(U) = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}.$$

• Hence it is equivalent to

$$\frac{f(x)}{x} = \frac{f(y)}{y} \Leftrightarrow \frac{y}{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

• Constructions for n = 6,8 (Csajbók, Marino, Polverino, Zanella, Zullo).

 In finite geometries, a maximum scattered linear set over PG(1, qⁿ):

$$U = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^2,$$
$$L(U) = \{\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}} : \mathbf{u} \in U \setminus \{0\}\} = \left\{\left(1, \frac{f(x)}{x}\right) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*\right\},$$
$$\#L(U) = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}.$$

Hence it is equivalent to

$$\frac{f(x)}{x} = \frac{f(y)}{y} \Leftrightarrow \frac{y}{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

- Constructions for n = 6,8 (Csajbók, Marino, Polverino, Zanella, Zullo).
- Classification results (Bartoli, Z. 2018) (Bartoli, Montanucci arxiv).

In general, it is quite difficult to tell whether \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are equivalent.

In general, it is quite difficult to tell whether C_1 and C_2 are equivalent. However, for a very special type, this work is quite easy.

In general, it is quite difficult to tell whether C_1 and C_2 are equivalent. However, for a very special type, this work is quite easy.

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda} := \{a_0 X^{q^{t_0}} + a_1 X^{q^{t_1}} + \ldots + a_{k-1} X^{q^{t_{k-1}}} \colon a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X],$$

where $\Lambda = \{t_0, \cdots, t_{k-1}\}.$

In general, it is quite difficult to tell whether C_1 and C_2 are equivalent. However, for a very special type, this work is quite easy.

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda} := \{a_0 X^{q^{t_0}} + a_1 X^{q^{t_1}} + \ldots + a_{k-1} X^{q^{t_{k-1}}} \colon a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X],$$

where $\Lambda = \{t_0, \cdots, t_{k-1}\}.$

Theorem (Csajbók, Marino, Polverino, Z, submitted) Let Λ_1 and Λ_2 be two k-subsets of $\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$.

In general, it is quite difficult to tell whether C_1 and C_2 are equivalent. However, for a very special type, this work is quite easy.

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda} := \{a_0 X^{q^{t_0}} + a_1 X^{q^{t_1}} + \ldots + a_{k-1} X^{q^{t_{k-1}}} \colon a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X],$$

where $\Lambda = \{t_0, \cdots, t_{k-1}\}.$

Theorem (Csajbók, Marino, Polverino, Z, submitted) Let Λ_1 and Λ_2 be two k-subsets of $\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. Define $C_j = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \Lambda_j} a_i X^{q^i} : a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \right\}$ for j = 1, 2.

In general, it is quite difficult to tell whether C_1 and C_2 are equivalent. However, for a very special type, this work is quite easy.

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda} := \{a_0 X^{q^{t_0}} + a_1 X^{q^{t_1}} + \ldots + a_{k-1} X^{q^{t_{k-1}}} \colon a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X],$$

where $\Lambda = \{t_0, \cdots, t_{k-1}\}.$

Theorem (Csajbók, Marino, Polverino, Z, submitted) Let Λ_1 and Λ_2 be two k-subsets of $\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. Define $C_j = \left\{\sum_{i \in \Lambda_j} a_i X^{q^i} : a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\right\}$ for j = 1, 2. Then C_1 and C_2 are equivalent

In general, it is quite difficult to tell whether C_1 and C_2 are equivalent. However, for a very special type, this work is quite easy.

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda} := \{a_0 X^{q^{t_0}} + a_1 X^{q^{t_1}} + \ldots + a_{k-1} X^{q^{t_{k-1}}} \colon a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X],$$

where $\Lambda = \{t_0, \cdots, t_{k-1}\}.$

Theorem (Csajbók, Marino, Polverino, Z, submitted) Let Λ_1 and Λ_2 be two k-subsets of $\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. Define $C_j = \left\{\sum_{i \in \Lambda_j} a_i X^{q^i} : a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}\right\}$ for j = 1, 2. Then C_1 and C_2 are equivalent if and only if

$$\Lambda_2 = \Lambda_1 + s := \{i + s \pmod{n} : i \in \Lambda_1\}$$

for some $s \in \{0, \cdots, n-1\}$.

For $A := (\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^k$ and $k \leq n$, a square Moore matrix is defined as

$$M_{A} := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{0} & \alpha_{1} & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1} \\ \alpha_{0}^{q} & \alpha_{1}^{q} & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1}^{q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{0}^{q^{k-1}} & \alpha_{1}^{q^{k-1}} & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1}^{q^{k-1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $A := (\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^k$ and $k \leq n$, a square Moore matrix is defined as

$$M_{\mathcal{A}} := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 & \alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1} \\ \alpha_0^q & \alpha_1^q & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1}^q \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_0^{q^{k-1}} & \alpha_1^{q^{k-1}} & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1}^{q^{k-1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is a q-analogue of Vandermonde matrices.

For $A := (\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^k$ and $k \leq n$, a square Moore matrix is defined as

$$M_{\mathcal{A}} := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 & \alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1} \\ \alpha_0^q & \alpha_1^q & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1}^q \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_0^{q^{k-1}} & \alpha_1^{q^{k-1}} & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1}^{q^{k-1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is a q-analogue of Vandermonde matrices.

$$\det(M_{\mathcal{A}}) = \prod_{\mathbf{c}} (c_0 \alpha_0 + c_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + c_{k-1} \alpha_{k-1}),$$

where $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_{k-1})$ runs over $\mathrm{PG}(k-1, q)$.

For $A := (\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^k$ and $k \leq n$, a square Moore matrix is defined as

$$M_{\mathcal{A}} := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{0} & \alpha_{1} & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1} \\ \alpha_{0}^{q} & \alpha_{1}^{q} & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1}^{q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{0}^{q^{k-1}} & \alpha_{1}^{q^{k-1}} & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1}^{q^{k-1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is a q-analogue of Vandermonde matrices.

$$\det(M_A) = \prod_{\mathbf{c}} (c_0 \alpha_0 + c_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + c_{k-1} \alpha_{k-1}),$$

where $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_{k-1})$ runs over $\mathrm{PG}(k-1, q)$. Therefore,

elements in A are \mathbb{F}_q -linearly independent iff det $(M_A) \neq 0$.

Moore exponent sets

For any set of distinct nonnegative integers $I = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}\}$ and $A = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^k$, $k \leq n$ and let

$$M_{A,I} := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{q^{t_0}} & \alpha_1^{q^{t_0}} & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1}^{q^{t_0}} \\ \alpha_0^{q^{t_1}} & \alpha_1^{q^{t_1}} & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1}^{q^{t_1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_0^{q^{t_{k-1}}} & \alpha_1^{q^{t_{k-1}}} & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1}^{q^{t_{k-1}}} \end{pmatrix}$$

•

Moore exponent sets

For any set of distinct nonnegative integers $I = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}\}$ and $A = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^k$, $k \leq n$ and let

$$M_{A,I} := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{q^{t_0}} & \alpha_1^{q^{t_0}} & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1}^{q^{t_0}} \\ \alpha_0^{q^{t_1}} & \alpha_1^{q^{t_1}} & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1}^{q^{t_1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_0^{q^{t_{k-1}}} & \alpha_1^{q^{t_{k-1}}} & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1}^{q^{t_{k-1}}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Besides $I = \{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$, it is interesting to ask whether there exist other I sharing the same property.

.

Moore exponent sets

For any set of distinct nonnegative integers $I = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}\}$ and $A = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^k$, $k \leq n$ and let

$$M_{A,I} := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{q^{t_0}} & \alpha_1^{q^{t_0}} & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1}^{q^{t_0}} \\ \alpha_0^{q^{t_1}} & \alpha_1^{q^{t_1}} & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1}^{q^{t_1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_0^{q^{t_{k-1}}} & \alpha_1^{q^{t_{k-1}}} & \cdots & \alpha_{k-1}^{q^{t_{k-1}}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Besides $I = \{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$, it is interesting to ask whether there exist other I sharing the same property.

Elements in A are \mathbb{F}_q -linearly independent iff det $(M_{A,I}) \neq 0$.

We call such I a Moore exponent set for q and n.

.

Theorem

For q and n, $I = \{t_0, \dots, t_{k-1}\}$ is a Moore exponent set if and only if

 $\mathcal{C}_{I} := \{a_{0}X^{q^{t_{0}}} + a_{1}X^{q^{t_{1}}} + \ldots + a_{k-1}X^{q^{t_{k-1}}} \colon a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}[X]$

is an MRD code.

Theorem

For q and n, $I = \{t_0, \dots, t_{k-1}\}$ is a Moore exponent set if and only if

 $\mathcal{C}_{I} := \{a_{0}X^{q^{t_{0}}} + a_{1}X^{q^{t_{1}}} + \ldots + a_{k-1}X^{q^{t_{k-1}}} \colon a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}[X]$

is an MRD code.

Besides $I = \{0, 1, \cdots, k - 1\}$, there are other known examples of Moore exponent sets.

Theorem

For q and n, $I = \{t_0, \dots, t_{k-1}\}$ is a Moore exponent set if and only if

 $\mathcal{C}_{I} := \{a_{0}X^{q^{t_{0}}} + a_{1}X^{q^{t_{1}}} + \ldots + a_{k-1}X^{q^{t_{k-1}}} \colon a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}[X]$

is an MRD code.

Besides $I = \{0, 1, \cdots, k - 1\}$, there are other known examples of Moore exponent sets.

 I = {0, s, ..., (k - 1)s} for any n satisfying gcd(s, n) = 1 (Generalized Gabidulin codes);

Theorem

For q and n, $I = \{t_0, \dots, t_{k-1}\}$ is a Moore exponent set if and only if

 $\mathcal{C}_{I} := \{a_{0}X^{q^{t_{0}}} + a_{1}X^{q^{t_{1}}} + \ldots + a_{k-1}X^{q^{t_{k-1}}} \colon a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}[X]$

is an MRD code.

Besides $I = \{0, 1, \cdots, k - 1\}$, there are other known examples of Moore exponent sets.

- I = {0, s, ..., (k 1)s} for any n satisfying gcd(s, n) = 1 (Generalized Gabidulin codes);
- *I* = {0,1,3} for *n* = 7 with odd *q* (Csajbók, Marino, Polverino, Z.);

Theorem

For q and n, $I = \{t_0, \dots, t_{k-1}\}$ is a Moore exponent set if and only if

 $\mathcal{C}_{I} := \{a_{0}X^{q^{t_{0}}} + a_{1}X^{q^{t_{1}}} + \ldots + a_{k-1}X^{q^{t_{k-1}}} \colon a_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}[X]$

is an MRD code.

Besides $I = \{0, 1, \cdots, k - 1\}$, there are other known examples of Moore exponent sets.

- I = {0, s, ..., (k 1)s} for any n satisfying gcd(s, n) = 1 (Generalized Gabidulin codes);
- *I* = {0,1,3} for *n* = 7 with odd *q* (Csajbók, Marino, Polverino, Z.);
- $I = \{0, 1, 3\}$ for n = 8 with $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ (Csajbók, Marino, Polverino, Z.).

The set $I = \{0, 1, 3\}$ is a Moore exponent set if and only if q is odd.

The set $I = \{0, 1, 3\}$ is a Moore exponent set if and only if q is odd.

Proof: q even

The set $I = \{0, 1, 3\}$ is a Moore exponent set if and only if q is odd.

Proof: q even The Dickson matrix associated with $X + X^q + X^{q^3} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^7}[X]$ is

$\left(1 \right)$	1	0	1	0	0	0 \
0	1^q	1^q	0	1^q	0	0
0	0	1^{q^2}	1^{q^2}	0	1^{q^2}	0
0	0	0	$1^{q^{3}}$	$1^{q^{3}}$	0	1^{q^3}
1^{q^4}	0	0	0	1^{q^4}	1^{q^4}	0
0	1^{q^5}	0	0	0	1^{q^5}	1^{q^5}
$\sqrt{1^{q^6}}$	0	$1^{q^{6}}$	0	0	0	1^{q^6}

The set $I = \{0, 1, 3\}$ is a Moore exponent set if and only if q is odd.

Proof: q even The Dickson matrix associated with $X + X^q + X^{q^3} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^7}[X]$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The set $I = \{0, 1, 3\}$ is a Moore exponent set if and only if q is odd.

Proof: q even The Dickson matrix associated with $X + X^q + X^{q^3} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^7}[X]$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Rank = 4 for q even $\Rightarrow q^3$ roots $\Rightarrow I$ is not an Moore exponent set.

• There exists u_1, u_2, u_3 which are \mathbb{F}_q -linearly independent.

• There exists u_1, u_2, u_3 which are \mathbb{F}_q -linearly independent.

• The rows/columns of
$$M := \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ u_1^q & u_2^q & u_3^q \\ u_1^{q^3} & u_2^{q^3} & u_3^{q^3} \end{pmatrix}$$
 are \mathbb{F}_{q^7} -linearly dependent.

• There exists u_1, u_2, u_3 which are \mathbb{F}_q -linearly independent.

• The rows/columns of
$$M := \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ u_1^q & u_2^q & u_3^q \\ u_1^{q^3} & u_2^{q^3} & u_3^{q^3} \end{pmatrix}$$
 are \mathbb{F}_{q^7} -linearly dependent

dependent.

•
$$P := \langle (u_1, u_2, u_3) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^7}}, \ \sigma(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1^q, x_2^q, x_3^q).$$
Suppose to the contrary that $\{0,1,3\}$ is not a Moore exponent set.

• There exists u_1, u_2, u_3 which are \mathbb{F}_q -linearly independent.

• The rows/columns of
$$M := \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ u_1^q & u_2^q & u_3^q \\ u_1^{q^3} & u_2^{q^3} & u_3^{q^3} \end{pmatrix}$$
 are \mathbb{F}_{q^7} -linearly

dependent.

•
$$P := \langle (u_1, u_2, u_3) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^7}}, \ \sigma(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1^q, x_2^q, x_3^q).$$

•
$$P$$
, P^{σ} , P^{σ^3} are on a line ℓ .

•
$$\sigma(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1^q, x_2^q, x_3^q), \ \ell = \langle P, P^{\sigma}, P^{\sigma^3} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^7}}.$$

•
$$\sigma(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1^q, x_2^q, x_3^q), \ \ell = \langle P, P^\sigma, P^{\sigma^3} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^7}}.$$

• $\ell \neq \ell^{\sigma}$, the length of the orbit of ℓ under σ is 7.

•
$$\sigma(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1^q, x_2^q, x_3^q), \ \ell = \langle P, P^\sigma, P^{\sigma^3} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^7}}.$$

- $\ell \neq \ell^{\sigma}$, the length of the orbit of ℓ under σ is 7.
- P^{σ^i} , ℓ^{σ^i} for i = 0, ..., 6 form a Fano plane.

•
$$\sigma(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1^q, x_2^q, x_3^q), \ \ell = \langle P, P^\sigma, P^{\sigma^3} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^7}}.$$

- $\ell \neq \ell^{\sigma}$, the length of the orbit of ℓ under σ is 7.
- P^{σ^i} , ℓ^{σ^i} for i = 0, ..., 6 form a Fano plane.
- A Fano plane cannot be embedded in $PG(2, q^7)$ with q odd.

•
$$\sigma(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1^q, x_2^q, x_3^q), \ \ell = \langle P, P^\sigma, P^{\sigma^3} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^7}}.$$

- $\ell \neq \ell^{\sigma}$, the length of the orbit of ℓ under σ is 7.
- P^{σ^i} , ℓ^{σ^i} for i = 0, ..., 6 form a Fano plane.
- A Fano plane cannot be embedded in $PG(2, q^7)$ with q odd.
- A contradiction!

•
$$\sigma(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1^q, x_2^q, x_3^q), \ \ell = \langle P, P^\sigma, P^{\sigma^3} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^7}}.$$

- $\ell \neq \ell^{\sigma}$, the length of the orbit of ℓ under σ is 7.
- P^{σ^i} , ℓ^{σ^i} for i = 0, ..., 6 form a Fano plane.
- A Fano plane cannot be embedded in $PG(2, q^7)$ with q odd.
- A contradiction!
- Therefore, $\alpha_1 X + \alpha_2 X^q + \alpha_3 X^{q^3}$ cannot have q^3 roots.

In general it seems illusive to give a complete list of Moore exponent set, because the associated Dickson matrices are getting larger.

In general it seems illusive to give a complete list of Moore exponent set, because the associated Dickson matrices are getting larger.

Theorem (Bartoli, Z. Submitted)

Assume that I is not an arithmetic progression. Then there exist integers N and $Q \le 5$ depending on I such that I is not a Moore exponent set over \mathbb{F}_{q^n} provided that q > Q and n > N.

In general it seems illusive to give a complete list of Moore exponent set, because the associated Dickson matrices are getting larger.

Theorem (Bartoli, Z. Submitted)

Assume that I is not an arithmetic progression. Then there exist integers N and $Q \le 5$ depending on I such that I is not a Moore exponent set over \mathbb{F}_{q^n} provided that q > Q and n > N.

We believe that the restriction on q > Q can be removed.

In general it seems illusive to give a complete list of Moore exponent set, because the associated Dickson matrices are getting larger.

Conjecture

We believe that the restriction on q > Q can be removed.

Thanks for your attention!