Highlights from the research of Jonathan D H Smith

Petr Vojtěchovský

University of Denver

Loops '19 Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Hungary July 7–13, 2019



Students

Jonathan Dallas Hayden Smith

MathSciNet

Ph.D. University of Cambridge 1975



Dissertation: Centrality

Advisor: John Horton Conway

Students: Click here to see the students listed in chronological order.

Name	School	Year	Descendants
Aydinyan, Ruben	Iowa State University	2005	
Choi, Dug Hwan	Iowa State University	1998	
Choi, Ji Young	Iowa State University	2002	
Chung, Key One	Iowa State University	2007	
Dagli, Mehmet	Iowa State University	2008	
Fiedler, James	Iowa State University	2007	
Fuad, Tengku	Iowa State University	1993	
Hobart, Michael	Iowa State University	1993	
Hsu, Feng-Luan	Iowa State University	1996	
Hummer, Frank	Iowa State University	1992	
Kivunge, Benard	Iowa State University	2004	3
Mutungi, Patrick	Iowa State University	2004	
Phillips, J. D.	Iowa State University	1992	
Rice, Theodore	Iowa State University	2007	
Shen, Xiaorong	Iowa State University	1991	
Stines, Elijah	Iowa State University	2012	
Thur, Lois	Iowa State University	1993	
Vojtěchovský, Petr	Iowa State University	2001	6
Wang, Stefanie	Iowa State University	2017	
Wells, Andrew	Iowa State University	2010	
Wojdylo, Jerzy	Iowa State University	1998	

Petr Vojtěchovský (University of Denver)

Publications



Publications



Publications



Note: Every 1 in 500 math papers is written by a Smith!

Publications of JDH Smith







Share

Smith, Jonathan D. H.

Website:	http://math.iastate.edu/jdhsmith/math/math_
MR Author ID:	163995
Earliest Indexed Publication:	1976
Total Publications:	179
Total Related Publications:	4
Total Citations:	875

■ Published as: Smith, J. (1)

Introduction

Books

Author Citations for Jonathan D. H. Smith Jonathan D. H. Smith is cited 875 times by 359 authors

in the MR Citation Database

	Most Cited Publications					
Citations	Publication					
133	MR0432511 (55 #5499) Smith, Jonathan D. H. Mal'cev varieties. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 554. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976. viii+158 pp. (Reviewer: V. A. Artamonov) 08A15	Book				
74	MR1673047 (2000d:00001) Smith, Jonathan D. H.; Romanowska, Anna B. Post-modern algebra. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1999. xii+370 pp. ISBN: 0-471-12738-8 (Reviewer: Edgar G. Goodaire) 00A05 (08-01 18-01 20-01)	Book				
68	MR1932199 (2003i:08001) Romanowska, Anna B.; Smith, Jonathan D. H. Modes. <i>World Scientific Publishing</i> Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2002. xii+623 pp. ISBN: 981-02-4942-X (Reviewer: Ewa Graczyńska) 08-02 (08A05 08B05 08C15 18B99)	Book				
57	MR2268350 (2008a:20104) Smith, Jonathan D. H. An introduction to quasigroups and their representations. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. <i>Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL,</i> 2007. xii+340 pp. ISBN: 978-1-58488-537-5; 1-58488-537-8 (Reviewer: Victor T. Markov) 20N05	Book				
49	MR0788695 (86k:08001) Romanowska, A. B.; Smith, J. D. H. Modal theory: an algebraic approach to order, geometry, and convexity. Research and Exposition in Mathematics, 9. <i>Heldermann Verlag, Berlin</i> , 1985. xii+158 pp. ISBN: 3-88538-209-1 (Reviewer: Boris M. Schein) 08-02 (06A12 20N15)	Book				

Introduction



Introduction









Malcev Operation

Term P(x, y, z) such that P(x, x, z) = z and P(x, y, y) = x.

Malcev Operation

Term P(x, y, z) such that P(x, x, z) = z and P(x, y, y) = x. In quasigroups: $P(x, y, z) = (x/(y \setminus y)) \cdot (y \setminus z)$.

Malcev Operation

Term P(x, y, z) such that P(x, x, z) = z and P(x, y, y) = x. In quasigroups: $P(x, y, z) = (x/(y \setminus y)) \cdot (y \setminus z)$.

Lemma

Let Q be a quasigroup and V a subquasigroup of $Q \times Q$ containing the diagonal $\hat{Q} = \{(x, x) : x \in Q\}$. Then V is a congruence on Q.

Proof.

Symmetry:

$$(x,x), (x,y), (y,y) \in V \Rightarrow (y,x) = (P(x,x,y), P(x,y,y)) \in V.$$

Etc.

Central congruences

A congruence V on Q is central if \hat{Q} is a normal subalgebra of V.

Theorem

A quasigroup Q has a unique maximal central congruence, the center congruence $\zeta(Q)$.

Central congruences

A congruence V on Q is central if \hat{Q} is a normal subalgebra of V.

Theorem

A quasigroup Q has a unique maximal central congruence, the center congruence $\zeta(Q)$.

If Q is a loop, the class $[1]_{\zeta(Q)} = Z(Q)$ is the usual center.

Central congruences

A congruence V on Q is central if \hat{Q} is a normal subalgebra of V.

Theorem

A quasigroup Q has a unique maximal central congruence, the center congruence $\zeta(Q)$.

If Q is a loop, the class $[1]_{\zeta(Q)} = Z(Q)$ is the usual center.

Central nilpotence for quasigroups is now defined iteratively by factoring out the center congruence in every step.

\mathcal{Z} -quasigroups

A quasigroup Q is a \mathbb{Z} -quasigroup if $\zeta(Q) = Q \times Q$ and \hat{Q} is normal in $Q \times Q$. (Nilpotent of class ≤ 1 .)

\mathcal{Z} -quasigroups

A quasigroup Q is a \mathbb{Z} -quasigroup if $\zeta(Q) = Q \times Q$ and \hat{Q} is normal in $Q \times Q$. (Nilpotent of class ≤ 1 .)

Note: \mathcal{Z} -loops are precisely abelian groups.

Theorem

Let Q be a quasigroup of prime order p. Then:

- Q is Z-quasigroup, or
- $\operatorname{Mlt}(Q) \in \{A_Q, S_Q\}$, or
- p = 11 and $Mlt(Q) \in \{PSL_2(11), M_{11}\}$, or
- p = 23 and $Mlt(Q) = M_{23}$, or
- $p = (q^k 1)/(q 1)$ for a prime power q and $PSL_k(q) \le Mlt(Q) \le P\Gamma L_k(q)$.

The definition is a bit technical, but it is an equivalence relation finer than isotopy and coarser then isomorphy.

The definition is a bit technical, but it is an equivalence relation finer than isotopy and coarser then isomorphy.

If P, Q are centrally isotopic, then Mlt(P), Mlt(Q) are isomorphic.

The definition is a bit technical, but it is an equivalence relation finer than isotopy and coarser then isomorphy.

If P, Q are centrally isotopic, then Mlt(P), Mlt(Q) are isomorphic.

Central isotopes of abelian groups are abelian groups.

The definition is a bit technical, but it is an equivalence relation finer than isotopy and coarser then isomorphy.

If P, Q are centrally isotopic, then Mlt(P), Mlt(Q) are isomorphic.

Central isotopes of abelian groups are abelian groups.

Central isotopes of \mathcal{Z} -quasigroups are \mathcal{Z} -quasigroups.

Quasigroup representations

Smith developed three kinds of representation theory for quasigroups:

- permutation representation,
- character theory,
- module theory.

All come with a twist to account for the lack of associativity.

... an exact symmetry holding at some level of a hierarchical system.

Q	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1	3	2	5	6	4
2	3	2	1	6	4	5
3	2	1	3	4	5	6
4	4	5	6	1	2	3
5	5	6	4	2	3	1
6	6	4	5	3	1	2

... an exact symmetry holding at some level of a hierarchical system.

Q	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1	3	2	5	6	4
2	3	2	1	6	4	5
3	2	1	3	4	5	6
4	4	5	6	1	2	3
5	5	6	4	2	3	1
6	6	4	5	3	1	2

Let $P = \{1\} \le Q$ and $P \setminus Q = \{\{1\}, \{2,3\}, \{4,5,6\}\} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ the orbits of $LMlt_P(Q) = \langle (2,3)(4,5,6) \rangle = \langle L_1 \rangle$.

... an exact symmetry holding at some level of a hierarchical system.

Q	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1	3	2	5	6	4
2	3	2	1	6	4	5
3	2	1	3	4	5	6
4	4	5	6	1	2	3
5	5	6	4	2	3	1
6	6	4	5	3	1	2

Let $P = \{1\} \le Q$ and $P \setminus Q = \{\{1\}, \{2,3\}, \{4,5,6\}\} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ the orbits of $LMlt_P(Q) = \langle (2,3)(4,5,6) \rangle = \langle L_1 \rangle$.

For $x \in Q$, let $R(x) = R_{P \setminus Q}(x)$ be the square matrix indexed by $P \setminus Q$ such that $R(x)(a_i, a_j)$ is the probability that x moves a randomly chosen element of a_i to a_j .

... an exact symmetry holding at some level of a hierarchical system.

Q	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1	3	2	5	6	4
2	3	2	1	6	4	5
3	2	1	3	4	5	6
4	4	5	6	1	2	3
5	5	6	4	2	3	1
6	6	4	5	3	1	2

Let $P = \{1\} \leq Q$ and $P \setminus Q = \{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 5, 6\}\} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ the orbits of $\operatorname{LMlt}_P(Q) = \langle (2, 3)(4, 5, 6) \rangle = \langle L_1 \rangle$. For $x \in Q$, let $R(x) = R_{P \setminus Q}(x)$ be the square matrix indexed by $P \setminus Q$ such that $R(x)(a_i, a_j)$ is the probability that x moves a randomly chosen element of a_i to a_j . The monoid generated by all R(x) acts on the space $\{(x_1a_1, x_2a_2, x_3a_3) : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1\}$.

... an exact symmetry holding at some level of a hierarchical system.

Q	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1	3	2	5	6	4
2	3	2	1	6	4	5
3	2	1	3	4	5	6
4	4	5	6	1	2	3
5	5	6	4	2	3	1
6	6	4	5	3	1	2

Let $P = \{1\} \le Q$ and $P \setminus Q = \{\{1\}, \{2,3\}, \{4,5,6\}\} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ the orbits of $\operatorname{LMlt}_P(Q) = \langle (2,3)(4,5,6) \rangle = \langle L_1 \rangle$.

For $x \in Q$, let $R(x) = R_{P \setminus Q}(x)$ be the square matrix indexed by $P \setminus Q$ such that $R(x)(a_i, a_j)$ is the probability that x moves a randomly chosen element of a_i to a_j . The monoid generated by all R(x) acts on the space $\{(x_1a_1, x_2a_2, x_3a_3) : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1\}.$

Combining a_1 , a_2 into $a_1 \cup a_2$ yields an exact symmetry.

Permutation representation

... essentially an abstract version of the iterated function system exhibited on the previous slide. This can be expressed in terms of coalgebras.

G = Mlt(Q) acts diagonally on $Q \times Q$ by $L_x(a, b) = (xa, xb)$, etc.

G = Mlt(Q) acts diagonally on $Q \times Q$ by $L_x(a, b) = (xa, xb)$, etc.

Conjugacy classes of Q are the orbits of thee diagonal action of G, say s of them.

G = Mlt(Q) acts diagonally on $Q \times Q$ by $L_x(a, b) = (xa, xb)$, etc.

Conjugacy classes of Q are the orbits of thee diagonal action of G, say s of them.

Class functions are those mappings $\theta : Q \times Q \rightarrow Q \times Q$ with $\theta = \theta^g$ for every $g \in G$, where $\theta^g(x, y) = \theta(g^{-1}x, g^{-1}y)$. These are complex linear combinations of characteristic functions on conjugacy classes.

G = Mlt(Q) acts diagonally on $Q \times Q$ by $L_x(a, b) = (xa, xb)$, etc.

Conjugacy classes of Q are the orbits of thee diagonal action of G, say s of them.

Class functions are those mappings $\theta : Q \times Q \rightarrow Q \times Q$ with $\theta = \theta^g$ for every $g \in G$, where $\theta^g(x, y) = \theta(g^{-1}x, g^{-1}y)$. These are complex linear combinations of characteristic functions on conjugacy classes.

The character table is an *s*-tuple of suitably chosen class functions. There are orthogonality relations, etc.

Modules

Fix an object Q in a category C. The **slice category** C/Q has as objects the morphisms $p: E \to Q$ from C, and morphisms

$$f:(p_1:E_1\to Q)\to (p_2:E_2\to Q)$$

iff there is a morphism $f: E_1 \to E_2$ in C such that $p_2 f = p_1$.

Modules

Fix an object Q in a category C. The **slice category** C/Q has as objects the morphisms $p : E \to Q$ from C, and morphisms

$$f:(p_1:E_1\to Q)\to (p_2:E_2\to Q)$$

iff there is a morphism $f: E_1 \to E_2$ in C such that $p_2 f = p_1$.

Theorem

Let C be the variety of groups and G a group. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between right G-modules and "abelian groups" in the slice category C/G.

Modules

Fix an object Q in a category C. The **slice category** C/Q has as objects the morphisms $p: E \to Q$ from C, and morphisms

$$f:(p_1:E_1\to Q)\to (p_2:E_2\to Q)$$

iff there is a morphism $f: E_1 \to E_2$ in C such that $p_2 f = p_1$.

Theorem

Let C be the variety of groups and G a group. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between right G-modules and "abelian groups" in the slice category C/G.

Quasigroup Q-modules are then defined to be the abelian groups in the slice category C/Q, where C is the category of quasigroups.

Let C be a convex set and $p \in (0,1)$. Define p(x,y) = (1-p)x + py.

Let C be a convex set and $p \in (0, 1)$. Define $\underline{p}(x, y) = (1 - p)x + py$. Then:

$$\underline{p}(x,x) = x,$$

$$\underline{p}(x,y) = \underline{1-p}(y,x),$$

$$\underline{q}(z,\underline{p}(y,x)) = \underline{q \circ p}(\underline{q/(p \circ q)}(z,y),x),$$
where $p \circ q = 1 - (1-p)(1-q).$

Let C be a convex set and $p \in (0, 1)$. Define $\underline{p}(x, y) = (1 - p)x + py$. Then:

$$\underline{p}(x,x) = x,$$

$$\underline{p}(x,y) = \underline{1-p}(y,x),$$

$$\underline{q}(z,\underline{p}(y,x)) = \underline{q \circ p}(\underline{q/(p \circ q)}(z,y),x),$$

where $p \circ q = 1 - (1 - p)(1 - q)$.

Meet semilattices with $\underline{p}(x, y) = xy = x \land y$ satisfy the same axioms.

Let C be a convex set and $p \in (0, 1)$. Define $\underline{p}(x, y) = (1 - p)x + py$. Then:

$$\underline{p}(x,x) = x,$$

$$\underline{p}(x,y) = \underline{1-p}(y,x),$$

$$\underline{q}(z,\underline{p}(y,x)) = \underline{q \circ p}(\underline{q/(p \circ q)}(z,y),x),$$

where $p \circ q = 1 - (1 - p)(1 - q)$.

Meet semilattices with $\underline{p}(x, y) = xy = x \land y$ satisfy the same axioms.

Abstractly, we obtain *barycentric algebras*.

Modes

Modes are idempotent and entropic algebras, that is, their operations satisfy

$$\omega(x,x,\cdots,x)=x,$$

and

$$\omega(\omega'(x_{11},\ldots,x_{1n}),\ldots,\omega'(x_{m1},\ldots,x_{mn}))$$

= $\omega'(\omega(x_{11},\ldots,x_{m1}),\ldots,\omega(x_{1n},\ldots,x_{mn})).$

Equivalently, all polynomials are homomorphisms.

Modes

Modes are idempotent and entropic algebras, that is, their operations satisfy

$$\omega(x,x,\cdots,x)=x,$$

and

$$\omega(\omega'(x_{11},\ldots,x_{1n}),\ldots,\omega'(x_{m1},\ldots,x_{mn}))$$

= $\omega'(\omega(x_{11},\ldots,x_{m1}),\ldots,\omega(x_{1n},\ldots,x_{mn})).$

Equivalently, all polynomials are homomorphisms.

Barycentric algebras are instances of modes.

Modes

Modes are idempotent and entropic algebras, that is, their operations satisfy

$$\omega(x,x,\cdots,x)=x,$$

and

$$\omega(\omega'(x_{11},\ldots,x_{1n}),\ldots,\omega'(x_{m1},\ldots,x_{mn}))$$

= $\omega'(\omega(x_{11},\ldots,x_{m1}),\ldots,\omega(x_{1n},\ldots,x_{mn})).$

Equivalently, all polynomials are homomorphisms.

Barycentric algebras are instances of modes.

Applications include hierarchical statistical mechanics and modeling of complex systems.

"Lie algebras vs. formal groups" generalizes to

"Lie algebras vs. formal groups" generalizes to "Malcev algebras vs. formal Moufang loops," which generalizes to

"Lie algebras vs. formal groups" generalizes to "Malcev algebras vs. formal Moufang loops," which generalizes to "Akivis algebras vs. formal (binary) loops."

"Lie algebras vs. formal groups" generalizes to "Malcev algebras vs. formal Moufang loops," which generalizes to "Akivis algebras vs. formal (binary) loops."

For $n \ge 3$, a formal *n*-ary loop is described by $\binom{n}{2}$ Akivis algebras and $\binom{n}{3}$ comtrans algebras in the tangent space.

Comtrans algebras

...algebraic structure on the tangent bundle of the coordinate ternary loop of a 4-web.

Comtrans algebras

...algebraic structure on the tangent bundle of the coordinate ternary loop of a 4-web.

A **comtrans algebra** is a vector space A with two trilinear operations $A \times A \times A \rightarrow A$, the commutator [x, y, z] and the translator $\langle x, y, z \rangle$, satisfying the following polynomial identities for all $x, y, z \in A$:

- [x, y, z] + [y, x, z] = 0,
- $\langle x, y, z \rangle + \langle y, z, x \rangle + \langle z, x, y \rangle = 0$ (Jacobi identity),
- $[x, y, z] + [z, y, x] = \langle x, y, z \rangle + \langle z, y, x \rangle$ (comtrans identity).

Toward hypercomplex algebras

The standard story of algebraic triplets is that William Rowan Hamilton wanted to generalise the geometric view given by the complex plane (the Argand diagram) to three dimensions so that applications in 3-dimensions could benefit from the system of triplets in an analogous way to how the complex numbers give a powerful way of making applications in 2-dimensions. For example in 1842 Hamilton was so preoccupied with the triplets that even his children were aware of it. Every morning they would inquire:-

Well, Papa can you multiply triplets?

but he had to admit that he could still only add and subtract them.

Well, academic Papa, can you multiply sedecimtuplets?

Well, academic Papa, can you multiply sedecimtuplets?

Yes, he can!

Well, academic Papa, can you multiply sedecimtuplets?

Yes, he can!

The sequence of normed real division algebras—real numbers \mathbb{R} , complex numbers \mathbb{C} , quaternions \mathbb{H} , and Cayley numbers \mathbb{K} —exhibits a successive degradation of properties. The complex numbers are no longer ordered, the quaternions no longer commutative, and the Cayley numbers no longer associative. There is a parallel degradation of the properties of the induced multiplications on the corresponding unit spheres. Thus S^0 is a cyclic group, S^1 is a non-cyclic abelian group, S^3 is a non-abelian group, and S^7 is a Moufang loop. This degradation, along with results such as Hurwitz' [Hu] on composition algebras and Adams' [Ad] on odd maps, has led to a consensus that the nested sequence of "hypercomplex numbers" $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{K}$ necessarily terminates at the Cayley numbers [Eb, Sect. 10.3.4]. The only work outside the consensus appears to have been that of Pfister [Pf] on the composition of quadratic forms, although this work was done in more of a number-theoretic context without regard for the algebraic properties of the composition.

The purpose of the current paper is to present an algebraic structure (4.2) on a 16-dimensional Euclidean space $S = \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K} f$ (of "sedenions"; cf. "quaternions," "octonions"), such that the Euclidean norm is multiplicative (Theorem 4.1) and the Cayley numbers appear as a subalgebra.

Sedenions

Theorem (S 1995)

Let \mathbb{K} be the usual Cayley numbers (real octonions) and let f be a new unit. Define addition on $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{K} + \mathbb{K}f$ componentwise, and multiplication by

$$(x+yf)(u+vf) = \begin{cases} xu+vxf, & \text{if } y = 0, \\ (xy \cdot uy^{-1} - y\overline{v}) + (y\overline{u} - vy^{-1} \cdot xy)f, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then:

- K embeds into S as a subalgebra,
- the left distributive law holds in S,
- the norm $|x + yf| = (x\overline{x} + y\overline{y})^{1/2}$ is multiplicative,
- the 15-sphere $S = \{z \in S : |z| = 1\}$ is a left loop, and it is a loop almost everywhere.

